TO DO LIST FROM THE INSPECTOR FOR THE CANTERBURY DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN HEARING SESSIONS – Tuesday 13 September to Thursday 22 September 2016

| 1. Copy of Statement of Common Ground – Herne Relief Road |  |
| --- | --- |
| 2. Note that sets out the sites that contribute to Herne Relief Road and Bullockstone Road improvements – what they contribute to? Who is doing what and when? |  |
| 3. Note on stages of delivery KCC envisage for the relief road at Sturry |  |
| 4. Revised Heads of Terms for Sturry Relief Road– make it clear to read |  |
| 5. SP3 & T13 Policy CCC to look at wording – must reflect what they are going to do. |  |
| 6. Policy SP2 and MM to it has now gone, why? Has it been deleted? |  |
| 7. Copy of Planning Application and commencement of conditions for PP already granted. CCC view on if this has started and has been discharged for Kent College site. |  |
| 8. Wording to be changed EMP7 last paragraph – CCC to provide the wording |  |
| 9. EMP9 wording to be looked at CCC |  |
| 10 .Look at mod to EMP7 in terms of how it relates to the master plan |  |
| 11. CCC to look at strengthening T1 cross reference to Parking Strategy. Change reference of Parking Strategy to accord with CCC intentions as stated at hearings |  |
| 12. Figures for parking changes – in VISUM |  |
| 13. Provide rail patronage as % of rail usage |  |
| 14. New dwellings in the countryside HD4 MM2.16 CCC to look at wording to make sure achieving what they want |  |
| 15. EMP12 be clear how CCC are interpreting this - look at wording, doesn’t apply to strategic allocations. Not entirely consistent with National Planning Policy Framework, must reflect framework paragraph 112 |  |
| 16. Proposals map (SAMMS) zones of influence boundaries – should refer to on Proposals Map MM 1.23 |  |
| 17. LB9 – bullet point 2 in MM add in ‘in advance’ of development and last paragraph look at wording. |  |
| 18. Consistency with national policy HE1 – paragraph 133 NPPF bullet points |  |
| 19. Consult with Historic England on any mods to Heritage Policies |  |
| 20. DBE3 & DBE4 CCC look at merging |  |
| 21. Look at wording in criteria F DBE1 to take into account SPD |  |
| 22. HE6 third paragraph– change wording |  |
| 23. Sustainable design and construction measures – look at energy statement DBE1 – bullet points |  |
| 24. DBE1 table D1 clarify connection between DBE1 & table D1 |  |
| 25. Policy CC10 wording to be consistent with preceding paragraph |  |
| 26. CC11 wording first sentence needs to be in line with National policy |  |
| 27. QL5 MM to clarify last paragraph |  |
| 28. SP3 – site 10 to check way bus link is described |  |
| 29. QL11 revisit wording material vs very significant |  |
| 30. TC11A MM4.2 – edge of centre and out of centre to reconsider and other policies and supporting text |  |
| 31. Wraik Hill – should be employment area or mixed use or retail |  |
| 32. Position of Wincheap local centre – city centre and how they relate on the proposals map |  |
| 33. TCL6 - MM4.13 change ‘in the light of’ comments by Mr Harris |  |
| 34. TCL6 - Primary shopping area – change, take out ‘frontage’ |  |
| 35. TCL6 – MM to make clear what happens to proposals not in accordance with Plan in terms of impact assessment |  |
| 36. Look at TCL6, TCL7 and SP2 in terms of relationship, where and how development in interim before phasing would be dealt with. |  |
| 37. CCC Wincheap land and what is in CCC ownership/direct control (map) |  |
| 38. TCL10 – to refer to TCL6 wording |  |
| 39. Delete last sentence of paragraph 4.63 |  |
| 40. In MM 4.16 reference to alterations to traffic flow in Westgate Towers area – delete |  |
| 41. Consistency between OS1 wording and look at national policy as well as agricultural/fisheries |  |
| 42. OS2 wording to be looked at to bring in line with NPPF |  |
| 43. SP3 Supporting text – Green Gap – form of words Sturry/Broad Oak |  |
| 44. OS7 leisure use - make it clear what it means |  |
| 45. Look at OS9, 10, 11 wording in line with NPPF |  |
| 46. 11.21 change wording put in MM |  |
| 47. Riverside Strategy – information on consultation |  |
| 48. OS13 remove reference to allocation |  |
| 49. Paragraph 11.44 factual error to be corrected |  |
| 50. Where changes to Proposals Map not asked for explain why? In particular OS & River Corridor |  |
| 51. Revised table to 11.69 MM and include Local Open Space Standards |  |
| 52. SP5 MM 1.22 re look at in relation to AONB |  |