

Canterbury VISUM Model

DRAFT Option Testing Report



December 2012



Document Control Sheet

BPP 04 F8 Version 14 July 2012

B2508700

Project: Client:	Canterbury VISUM CCC	Project No:
Document Title: Ref. No:	DRAFT Option Testing Report v2	

	Originated by	Checked by	Reviewed by	Approved by
ORIGINAL	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME
	MN	SM	SS	SS
DATE	INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS
31/10/12	Document Status:	DRAFT		

6/12/12	Document Status			
DATE	INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS
REVISION	MN	LE	SS	SS
	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME

REVISION	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME	
DATE	INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS	
	Document Status				

REVISION	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME
DATE	INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS
	Document Status			

REVISION	NAME	NAME	NAME	NAME
DATE	INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS	INITIALS
Document Status				

Jacobs U.K. Limited

This document has been prepared by a division, subsidiary or affiliate of Jacobs U.K. Limited ("Jacobs") in its professional capacity as consultants in accordance with the terms and conditions of Jacobs' contract with the commissioning party (the "Client"). Regard should be had to those terms and conditions when considering and/or placing any reliance on this document. No part of this document may be copied or reproduced by any means without prior written permission from Jacobs. If you have received this document in error, please destroy all copies in your possession or control and notify Jacobs.

Any advice, opinions, or recommendations within this document (a) should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole; (b) do not, in any way, purport to include any manner of legal advice or opinion; (c) are based upon the information made available to Jacobs at the date of this document and on current UK standards, codes, technology and construction practices as at the date of this document. It should be noted and it is expressly stated that no independent verification of any of the documents or information supplied to Jacobs has been made. No liability is accepted by Jacobs for any use of this document, other than for the purposes for which it was originally prepared and provided. Following final delivery of this document to the Client, Jacobs will have no further obligations or duty to advise the Client on any matters, including development affecting the information or advice provided in this document.

This document has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Client and unless otherwise agreed in writing by Jacobs, no other party may use, make use of or rely on the contents of this document. Should the Client wish to release this document to a third party, Jacobs may, at its discretion, agree to such release provided that (a) Jacobs' written agreement is obtained prior to such release; and (b) by release of the document to the third party, that third party does not acquire any rights, contractual or otherwise, whatsoever against Jacobs and Jacobs, accordingly, assume no duties, liabilities or obligations to that third party; and (c) Jacobs accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage incurred by the Client or for any conflict of Jacobs' interests arising out of the Client's release of this document to the third party.



Contents

Introd	luction	1
Mode	I Sectors	4
Mode Devel Housi Comn Other Infras	Iled Scenarios opment Assumptions ng nercial Development Development tructure	6 6 7 8 9
Trave Trave Devel Patter Total Cante	I Demand I Demand by Sector opment Trips rn of Travel Demand Travel Demand erbury City Area Travel Demand	11 11 12 13 17
Mode Car, E Park a	Share Bus and Rail Trips and Ride Trips	21 21 22
Netwo Netwo Cordo Level	ork Performance ork Performance Indicators on Traffic Movements of Congestion	23 23 24 25
Sumr	nary of Options	30
Conc	lusion	31
2-A 3-B 4-A 4-B 4-C 4-D 5-A 5-B 6-A	Canterbury Sectors Summary of Land-use Assumptions for Options 1, 2 and 3 Highway and Public Transport Infrastructure Modifications Total Highway and Public Transport Travel Demand (person trips) Increase in Total Travel Demand over Do Minimum (person trips) Thanington and Strode Farm Development Trips Composition of Travel Demand to and from Canterbury City area – AM Peak Peak - HBW & HBO Trips by Mode (person trips) Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) Network Performance Indicators – Average Peak	4 6 10 11 13 17 21 22 23
	Introd Mode Devel Housi Comm Other Infras Trave Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Cante Devel Patter Total Conto Cordo Level Summ Conc Conc Conc Conc Conc Conc	Introduction Model Sectors Modelled Scenarios Development Assumptions Housing Commercial Development Other Development Infrastructure Travel Demand Travel Demand Travel Demand to Sector Development Trips Pattern of Travel Demand Canterbury City Area Travel Demand Caterbury City area of Congestion Conclusion Conclusion CA Canterbury Sectors A Summary of Land-use Assumptions for Options 1, 2 and 3 B Highway and Public Transport Infrastructure Modifications A Total Highway and Public Transport Infrastructure Modifications A Total Highway and Public Transport Travel Demand (person trips) Composition and Strode Farm Development Trips D Composition and Strode Farm Development Trips AD Composition and Strode Farm Development Trips AD Composition of Travel Demand to and from Canterbury City area – AM Peak A Peak - HBW & HBO Trips by Mode (person trips) B Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) B Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) B Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) B Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) B Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) B Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) B Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) B Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) B Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) B Park and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips) B Park and Ride as



Study area	2
Canterbury Sectors	5
Housing Allocation	7
Commercial Land Use Allocation	8
Retail Land Use Allocation	9
Development Trip - Origins	12
Development Trip - Destinations	12
AM Peak – Trip Origins by Sector	14
AM Peak – Trips Destinations by Sector	15
PM Peak – Trip Origins by Sector	16
PM Peak – Trip Destinations by Sector	17
Option 1 AM Peak – Pattern of Travel Demand to and from the	
Canterbury City Area (sectors 1 to 8)	18
Option 1 AM Peak – Pattern of Trip Movements Across the	
Wider Canterbury District	19
Option 1 AM Peak – Pattern of Trip Movements Within the	
Urban area of Canterbury City	20
Cordons Used to Assess Traffic Movements	24
Option 1 Link Volume to Capacity Ratio	26
Option 2 Link Volume to Capacity Ratio	27
Option 3 Link Volume to Capacity	27
Option 1 Mean Turn Delay	28
Option 2 Mean Turn Delay	28
Option 3 Mean Turn Delay	29
	Study area Canterbury Sectors Housing Allocation Commercial Land Use Allocation Retail Land Use Allocation Development Trip - Origins Development Trip - Destinations AM Peak – Trip Origins by Sector AM Peak – Trip Origins by Sector PM Peak – Trip Destinations by Sector PM Peak – Trip Destinations by Sector Option 1 AM Peak – Pattern of Travel Demand to and from the Canterbury City Area (sectors 1 to 8) Option 1 AM Peak – Pattern of Trip Movements Across the Wider Canterbury District Option 1 AM Peak – Pattern of Trip Movements Within the Urban area of Canterbury City Cordons Used to Assess Traffic Movements Option 1 Link Volume to Capacity Ratio Option 2 Link Volume to Capacity Ratio Option 3 Link Volume to Capacity Option 1 Mean Turn Delay Option 2 Mean Turn Delay

- Appendix A Development Data
- Appendix B Appendix C Location of Housing and Commercial Development Composition of Canterbury City Travel Demand
- Appendix D Summary Notes

1 Introduction

Jacobs UK Ltd were appointed in May 2008 by Kent County Council (KCC) in association with Canterbury City Council (CCC) to develop a VISUM transport model of Canterbury City and its satellite towns to support the Local Development Framework (LDF) process. It is a 4-stage multimodal transport model developed to assess demand from car travel, commercial road vehicles, park & ride, bus and rail services. The model encompasses Canterbury District but the detailed model area is focussed on Canterbury itself **Figure 1-A**. The Highways Agency have confirmed that they consider the model appropriate for the assessment of local land use development and the impact on the strategic road network.

In 2012 Canterbury City Council commissioned Jacobs to develop forecast year models for 2026 to assist in the formulation of a development strategy. The 2026 Do-minimum scenario was established as the platform from which three alternative forecast options have subsequently been developed.

This report outlines the development of the three options and summarises the output of the models.



It is often easier to visualise the travel demand in a condensed form. Model sectors have been used to provide a better understanding of the travel demand and impact of development across the Canterbury District.

The 221 model zones have been grouped to 20 sectors which are arranged to represent broadly the urban area of Canterbury, the wider Canterbury District and the rest of Kent / Britain. The sectors are listed in **Table 2-A** and shown in **Figure 2-A**.

Sector	Description	
1	Canterbury Centre	Canterbury urban area
2	Wincheap and Thanington	Canterbury urban area
3	S Canterbury	Canterbury urban area
4	E Canterbury	Canterbury urban area
5	Sturry Road	Canterbury urban area
6	Hale Place	Canterbury urban area
7	St Stephen's / University	Canterbury urban area
8	St Dunstan's / Harbledown	Canterbury urban area
9	Sturry / Hersden	Wider Canterbury District
10	Chartham / Petham	Wider Canterbury District
11	Bridge / Barham	Wider Canterbury District
12	Littlebourne / Bekesbourne	Wider Canterbury District
13	Broad Oak / Hoath	Wider Canterbury District
14	Blean	Wider Canterbury District
15	Whitstable	Wider Canterbury District
16	Herne Bay	Wider Canterbury District
17	Thanet / Dover / East Kent	Kent / Britain
18	Folkestone / Ashford / S Kent / Sussex	Kent / Britain
19	W Kent / Medway / London	Kent / Britain
20	UK	Kent / Britain





The three modelled scenarios have been developed based on the 2026 Do Minimum models for the AM and PM peaks. The three scenarios include development in and around the city and the coastal towns in differing proportions. The options modelled are as follows:

Option 1 includes residential development primarily in and around Canterbury and the Herne Bay area. Option 1 has the higher allocation of commercial development which is also focussed in the same areas.

Option 2 has the lowest allocation of residential units more of which are located in the coastal areas and on the A28 corridor around Sturry and Hersden. Commercial development is located near Herne Bay, Sturry and Hersden.

Option 3 includes a significant amount of residential development in the Canterbury area together with a more dispersed distribution across the district. Option 3 has the lowest commercial development which has a similar distribution to Option 2.

3.1 Development Assumptions

The development assumptions provided for the three options were processed and allocated to appropriate model zones. The total quantum of residential, commercial and retail development incorporated in each option is summarised in **Table 3-A**.

Land Use	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Housing (units)	12,570	11,991	12,090
Business (sqm)	125,000	119,500	99,500
Retail (sqm)	43500	42100	42100

Table 3-A Summary of Land-use Assumptions for Options 1, 2 and 3

In addition to the development allocations, gains from expected windfall developments were included. These were distributed among residential and mixed use zones in the Canterbury urban area and weighted by the existing numbers of household units per zone.

3.2 Housing

The composition of housing for all development is assumed to be as follows:

1 bedroom flat	15%
2 bedroom flat	15%
2 bedroom house	30%
3 bedroom house	30%
4+ bedroom house	10%

Figure 3-A shows the distribution of new housing across the district for each option by sector. The housing allocation for Option 1 is focussed on the south of Canterbury and Herne Bay. For Option 2 the housing is distributed between Whitstable, Herne Bay, Broad Oak and Hersden and Option 3 is characterised by a more dispersed allocation.



Figure 3-A Housing Allocation

3.2.1 Commercial Development

Commercial development had been assumed to be primarily a standard mix of B1 uses with a small proportion of B8 use and 'sui generis' uses such as car showrooms. The business development was split with 90% allocated to B1 use and 10% allocated to B8 use.

The distribution of commercial development by sector is illustrated in **Figure 3-B**, which indicates that Option 1 has the highest level of commercial development, located primarily near to the city but also at Herne Bay (sector 6). Options 2 and 3 have lower overall levels of commercial development, focussed on the Herne Bay sector 6 and Hersden sector 9.



Figure 3-B Commercial Land Use Allocation

3.2.2 Other Development

Retail development in all three options is primarily located in sector 2 which includes Wincheap and Thanington zones. There is a smaller element of retail development in the Whitstable and Herne Bay areas (Error! Reference source not found.)

Option 1 also includes a 4 form primary school and a 3 doctor GP Surgery. The primary school was assumed to be a single form entry school with around 120 pupils. The 3 doctor GP surgery was assumed to have a gross floor area of 500sqm.

The land use assumptions built in to the model for each option are listed in **Appendix A**. The model zones to which residential and commercial developments were allocated for each option are shown in **Appendix B**.



Figure 3-C Retail Land Use Allocation

3.3 Infrastructure

A number of infrastructure changes were identified with selected development locations and these are summarised in **Table 3-B** below and their general location identified in **Appendix A**. The highway changes which were specifically incorporated within the model are numbered 1 to 6. The remainder (7 to 9) were accommodated within the existing model network.

ID	Highway Measures	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
3,6	Improved access at selected locations	✓	~	 Image: A set of the set of the
2	Roundabout on A2990	~	~	 Image: A set of the set of the
1	Herne Bypass	 ✓ 	1	 ✓
5	A2 junction at Bridge	~		
4	A2 southbound off slip to Wincheap	1		
4	A28 / Ten Perch Road junction	1		
7	Junction on B2205 Whitstable Road		 I 	
8	Junction provision on the A28		1	 Image: A set of the set of the
9	Junction on Thornden Wood Road		1	 Image: A set of the set of the
	Public Transport Measures	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
	Express bus service		 Image: A set of the set of the	
	Extended bus route		 Image: A set of the set of the	/
	New bus service			
	Increase bus frequency	~	~	 Image: A start of the start of

 Table 3-B
 Highway and Public Transport Infrastructure Modifications

All three options include a new Herne Bypass link, improved access to certain development locations, a new roundabout on the A2990, a new junction with Thornden Wood Road, increased bus frequencies and extended or modified bus routes to serve developments where feasible. The rerouting of the A28 through the Wincheap Industrial Estate was included in the Do Minimum model and is also included in the three options.

Option 1 includes a southbound off slip from the A2 to the A28 at Wincheap, together with a new junction arrangement with Ten Perch Road, and a replacement junction with the A2 near Bridge.

Option 2 includes an express bus service on the A28 corridor to the city centre and a new bus link to Thanington development with a bus service to the city centre is included in Option 1.

The Herne Bypass, A2 junction at Bridge, A2 off slip at Wincheap and reconfiguration of the A28 route from Thanington through the Wincheap industrial estate are all based on broad concepts with very limited detail available. Modifications made to the network to accommodate these changes included assumptions about link and junction capacity that were considered necessary to provide a reasonable functioning network.

Key points

- Overall development input for all options of a similar order of magnitude
- Option 1 has largest number of houses and business sqm
- Option 2 had the smallest number of houses
- Option 3 has lowest commercial sqm

The estimated travel demand which is generated for each option has been built on to the existing 2026 Do Minimum models, using the same trip generation assumptions as far as possible. The total travel demand for highway and public transport trips for each option is summarised in **Table 4-A** below. Walking and cycling trips are not modelled. The travel demand generated for each option takes no account at this stage of potential sustainable travel initiatives which may be supported by future policy decisions.

	Do Minimum	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
AM Peak	44400	50100	49900	49900
PM Peak	43200	49700	49400	49500

 Table 4-A
 Total Highway and Public Transport Travel Demand (person trips)

The total travel demand for the three options ranges from 49,400 to 50,100 person trips per hour. The additional development accounts for an increase of 12 to 15% in person trips over the Do Minimum (**Table 4-B**). The AM peak has a higher number of person trips than the PM peak and Option 1, with the highest development allocation, has the highest level of total travel demand.

	Do Minimum	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
AM Peak		13%	12%	12%
PM Peak		15%	14%	15%

 Table 4-B
 Increase in Total Travel Demand over Do Minimum (person trips)

4.1 Travel Demand by Sector

In order to fully understand the highway issues and public transport use it is important to have an appreciation of where trips are being generated (origins) and where they are being attracted to (destinations). To make this easier the travel demand has been analysed by sector and the sectors used are listed in **Table 2-A** and shown in **Figure 2-A**.

4.1.1 Development Trips

In all three options the development included has contributed significantly to the total forecast demand, with the emphasis on different locations. **Figure 4-A** and **Figure 4-B** below show the origin and destinations of the additional trips generated by development in the AM peak for each option. The increase in travel demand for Option 1 is largely focussed on Wincheap/Thanington, south Canterbury and Herne Bay (sectors 2, 3 and 16) and for Option 2 on Sturry / Hersden, Broad Oak, Whitstable and Herne Bay (sectors 9, 13, 15 and 16). Option 3 has a broader impact over a larger number of sectors.



Figure 4-A Development Trip - Origins



Figure 4-B Development Trip - Destinations

4.1.2 Pattern of Travel Demand

The pattern of travel demand is a function of the nature of the journey and time of day. The PM peak has a different basic composition to the AM peak, including for

example a larger proportion of shopping and other trips and few school and work trips.

Business development attracts a higher proportion of trips than it generates in the AM peak and this pattern is reversed in the PM peak. This is illustrated in **Table 4-C** below where, for Option 1 development at Thanington, there is a significant attraction of business trips in the AM peak, accounting for over 65% of all arrivals. The business trip departures amount to around 6% in the AM peak. The PM peak has a more evenly balanced pattern of arrivals and departures for all development but a high proportion of departures for business development.

A similar pattern is indicated for development at Strode Farm in Option 2 where business trips account for 63% of arrivals in the AM peak and 39% of departures in the PM peak.

The development at Thanington contributes to the congestion on the A28 Wincheap corridor through outbound trips to destinations across the district, generated by housing, and inbound trips attracted to business in the AM peak.

	AM Peak		PM Peak	
Option 1 - Thanington	Departures	Arrivals	Departures	Arrivals
Thanington – all development (28,000sqm business and 2500 housing units	1095	519	825	968
Thanington business development (28,000sqm)	61	339	309	60
Proportion of trip for business	6%	65%	37%	6%
	AM Peak		PM Peak	
Option 2 – Strode Farm	Departures	Arrivals	Departures	Arrivals
Strode Farm – all development (18,000sqm business and 800 housing units)	369	253	369	370
Strode Farm business development (18,000 sqm)	28	159	143	28
Proportion of trip for business	8%	63%	39%	8%

Table 4-C Thanington and Strode Farm Development Trips

4.1.3 Total Travel Demand

The total travel demand is the net result of Do Minimum trips and those generated by or attracted to new development. The distribution of total travel demand by sector for each option is shown in **Figure 4-C** to **Figure 4-F** below. The AM and PM peak periods have different patterns of trip generation and destination. Canterbury urban area (sectors 1 to 8) attracts more trips in the AM peak and generates more trips in the PM peak. Whitstable and Herne Bay (sectors 15 and 16) generate and attract a significant proportion of trips in both peak periods.



Figure 4-C AM Peak – Trip Origins by Sector



Figure 4-D AM Peak – Trips Destinations by Sector



Figure 4-E PM Peak – Trip Origins by Sector



Figure 4-F PM Peak – Trip Destinations by Sector

Of the total travel demand for all three options, around 58% of the trips start and end within the Canterbury District (sectors 1 to 16) and 15% to 16% of trips occur between the coastal towns.

4.1.4 Canterbury City Area Travel Demand

Travel demand to and from the urban area of Canterbury City (sectors 1 to 8) account for up to 61% of the total travel demand. **Table 4-D** indicates the source of AM peak trips to and from the Canterbury City sectors for each option.

Source of Travel Demand (sector)	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Canterbury City area (1 to 8)	24%	19%	21%
Canterbury District (excluding coastal towns) (9 to 14)	20%	21%	21%
Whitstable and Herne Bay (15 to 16)	17%	17%	16%
East Kent & Thanet (17)	17%	15%	16%
South Kent & Sussex (18)	10%	10%	10%
West Kent & Medway & London (19)	11%	10%	10%
External zones (20)	1%	1%	1%

 Table 4-D
 Composition of Travel Demand to and from Canterbury City area – AM Peak

The composition of travel demand to and from Canterbury is also shown in the figures in **Appendix C**. Option 1 has the highest proportion (24%) of trips entirely within the Canterbury City sectors (1 to 8). This is a consequence of the location of development nearer to the urban centre for this option. East Kent accounts for 15 to 17% of trips and London and the rest of the south east account for around 20% of trips.

The pattern of travel demand to and from the Canterbury City area (sectors 1 to 8) is illustrated in **Figure 4-G**, for Option 1 AM peak. Options 2 and 3 have patterns of demand for travel to and from the city similar to Option 1.



Figure 4-G Option 1 AM Peak – Pattern of Travel Demand to and from the Canterbury City Area (sectors 1 to 8)

The sources of trip generation and attraction across the district result in a complex pattern of movement within the district and the city area. This is illustrated in **Figure 4-H** which shows the AM peak desire lines for trips across the wider Canterbury District for Option 1. **Figure 4-I** shows the AM peak desire lines of trips that are entirely within the Canterbury City area. Options 2 and 3 have similar patterns of movement.

The highway and public transport network clearly has to cater for a diverse pattern of movement across the district and across the city area. However the essentially radial character of the highway and bus route network means that much of the movement across the city is funnelled through the centre.



Figure 4-H Option 1 AM Peak – Pattern of Trip Movements Across the Wider Canterbury District



Figure 4-I Option 1 AM Peak – Pattern of Trip Movements Within the Urban area of Canterbury City

5.1 Car, Bus and Rail Trips

The forecast mode share is based on the balance of the generalised cost of travel by car and by public transport. Travel costs are determined from parking costs, vehicle operating costs, value of time, bus and rail fares etc. The base year values have been retained for this stage of testing of the options.

The attraction of trips to bus or rail is also dependent on the origin and destination of trips, on the accessibility to public transport at each end of the journey, on the services provided and on the level of delay that will be tolerated by drivers. The mode choice model estimates the forecast year mode share based on information input.

All three options include an increase in bus frequency and extended bus routes to access some development locations. Option 1 has an additional bus service serving development at Thanington and Option 2 has an express bus service with limited stops serving development at Hersden.

The mode choice is calculated for home-based work and home-based other trips as these trips are most likely to have the opportunity to change their mode of travel. It is assumed that employers business and non home-based other trips are unlikely to be able to change mode.

The forecast average peak mode share for home based work and home based other trips is summarised in **Table 5-A**. The three options tested indicate a comparatively small difference in the proportion of trips using bus, rail and car. Option 1 has a higher proportion of car and rail trips and Option 2 has the higher proportion of bus trips.

	Do Minimum	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Car	81.5%	84.2%	83.0%	83.8%
Bus	12.9%	11.0%	12.7%	11.7%
Rail	5.6%	4.8%	4.3%	4.5%

 Table 5-A
 Peak - HBW & HBO Trips by Mode (person trips)

The location of development has some impact on the mode choice of trips as does the service provision but the overall effect on mode share is relatively small. A more substantial mode shift to public transport would require a public transport strategy which combined positive incentives, such as service provision and favourable fare structures, with behaviour 'drivers', such as limited parking provision, raised parking cost etc.

In reality public perception of public transport services and travel costs also has an impact on the use of bus and rail services. This aspect can not easily be reflected in the model.

5.2 Park and Ride Trips

The Park and Ride Model determines the proportion of car trips that might be expected to divert to park and ride sites provided. This is dependant on travel time, travel costs, location of the park and ride sites and the level of bus service provided. The forecast options include the existing park and ride sites and an additional site at Harbledown. For Option 1, the Dover Road site is assumed to be relocated to a position nearer to the proposed new Bridge junction with the A2. The Wincheap site remains in the current position. Bus fares and services remain as existing.

The proportion of trips which are diverted to park and ride for each option are summarised in **Table 5-B**.

	Do Minimum	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
AM Peak	4.2%	4.1%	4.0%	4.0%
PM Peak	3.3%	2.4%	2.4%	2.6%

Table 5-BPark and Ride as % of Car trips (person trips)

Park and ride trips account for approximately 4% of the AM peak and 2.4 to 2.6% of the PM peak car person trips. Generally there is very little difference in the proportion of park and ride trips between the modelled options.

As for bus and rail modes, a more positive shift to park and ride from car could be possible with the application of positive incentives and behaviour 'drivers'. The positive incentives may include improved service provision, fast bus services and favourable park and ride parking/fares. The behaviour 'drivers' may include a town centre parking policy limiting parking provision and raised parking costs.

The attraction of trips to park and ride is affected by the location of the sites provided, accessibility of the sites, low cost parking and the journey time advantage to be gained from a fast bus service with no search time for a parking space.

6.1 Network Performance Indicators

The model network performance has been assessed based on selected key performance indicators:

- Average network speed (mph)
- Total vehicle distance travelled (kms)
- Total congested travel time (mins)

The congested travel time is a measure of the amount of vehicle travel time across the whole network which is as a result of delays on the network, as opposed to free flow travel time. The average network speed, total vehicle travel distance and congested travel time have been extracted for the fully modelled area which is focussed on Canterbury and the immediate surroundings (**Table 6-A**).

	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Average peak speed (mph)	19.7	19.5	19.5
Total vehicle kilometres	200551	184704	186600
Total congested travel time (mins)	313951	306444	302374

Table 6-A Network Performance Indicators – Average Peak

The average peak period speed across the Canterbury area is fairly consistent between the options. Much of the additional demand generated by development is focussed on Canterbury as an attractor or generator of trips. The highway network, which is already under pressure, has limited spare capacity. The network absorbs as much demand as possible, reassigning traffic to different and longer routes as necessary. The average peak speed across the network is the net outcome of the balance between the delays on the network and the reassignment of traffic to longer routes with less delay.

Option 1 has the highest total vehicle kilometres of the three options and Option 2 the lowest. The higher lever of vehicle distance travelled for Option 1 is the net result of a higher travel demand, generated by higher level of development, and the improved accesses to the A2 at Wincheap and Bridge. These highway additions may attract traffic to make longer journeys using the A2 to move around Canterbury rather than opt for the shorter route travelling on the congested network through the centre. Options 2 and 3 have no major highway network additions. Trips are therefore constrained to the existing network where they contribute to the delay, resulting in a lower average speed.

The total congested travel time is highest for Option 1. One reason for this may be the higher level of demand generated closer to the city centre. In addition the Option1 highway network was modified to reflect the outline plans for the A2 / A28 Wincheap junction. This junction arrangement attracts additional traffic from the A2 to the A28 Wincheap access to Canterbury and generates a significant amount of delay in the model. This in turn contributes to the higher level of congested travel time.

6.2 Cordon Traffic Movements

The total traffic movement crossing an inner and outer cordon around the city have been extracted to provide a measure of the volume of traffic movement (**Table 6-B**). The cordons used are shown in **Figure 6-A**.



Figure 6-A Cordons Used to Assess Traffic Movements

AM Peak	Do Minimum	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Inner Cordon	15500	16900	17000	17200
Outer Cordon	17100	00 19600 17700		17800
PM Peak	Do Minimum	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Inner Cordon	17600	16300	16400	16200
Outer Cordon	15500	18500	15600	15500

Table 6-B 2026 AM and PM Total Cordon Flows

The AM peak has the higher trip total traffic movement crossing both cordons. The inner cordon shows comparable traffic totals for all three options ranging from 16900 to 17200 in the AM peak and 163200 to 16400 in the PM peak, suggesting that the inner cordon may have reached the feasible capacity.

The outer cordon has higher total flows as a result of the additional link from the A2 to the A28 at Wincheap. The new junction with the A2 also contributes to the cordon flow as it provided access to development located to the south of Canterbury.

6.3 Level of Congestion

The degree of congestion on the network is the cumulative effect of heavy traffic demand on roads of limited capacity, delays at junctions and complex patterns of traffic movements. Delays can accumulate on the approach and on the exit from junctions and from blocking back across junctions. There is no single simple measure to gauge the level of congestion.

The public perception of congestion and delay is often influenced by local experience. It can be difficult to reconcile a measure of actual congestion with the public perception of congestion.

For the purposes of this study the level of congestion across the network has been assessed using the ratio of traffic flow to capacity on links and on the mean turning delay at junctions extracted from the model. The ratio of traffic volume to capacity on the links is illustrated in **Figure 6-B** to **Figure 6-D**. The links highlighted green are at or approaching their nominal capacity and the orange and red links carry traffic flows in excess of their expected capacity.

The average turning delay at junction is shown in **Figure 6-E** to **Figure 6-G**. All the junctions highlighted have a high level of turning delay and would require serious consideration, whilst those with a delay of 2 minutes or more would require immediate attention.

The link flow to capacity ratio plots indicate that key links around the city centre (Kingsmead, Military Road, Broad Street, Pin Hill, Rhodaus Town and Upper Bridge Street) all remain over capacity for all options. The junction of St George's Place with Upper and Lower Bridge Street has high levels of turning delay in all three options.

Despite the additional development in the south of Canterbury, Option 1 shows a lower volume to capacity ratio on the A2050 south of the city than the other options. This is also reflected in the lower level of delay at the junctions of Old Dover Road with Oaten Hill and of St George's Place with New Dover Road and Chantry Lane. The new A2 junction at Bridge helps to reduce the pressure on the New Dover Road / Old Dover Road corridor.

Options 2 and 3 show a higher volume to capacity ratio on the A28 corridor. The development at Broad Oak, Sturry and Hersden in Option 2 and 3 contribute to increased pressure on the A28 corridor and on Shalloak and Broad Oak Road.

There are significant turning delays in all three options at junction of A291 Sturry Hill with the A28. The developments at locations near to Herne Bay contribute to the level of delay at the A291 / A28 junction for all options.

The junction of the A290 Whitstable Road with London Road caters for complex traffic movements from the Whitstable area and the northern part of the city to the west of the city and to the A2. This junction is under pressure for all three options. Options 2 and 3 indicate a higher volume to capacity ratio for the A290 Whitstable Road and on London Road.

The A28 Wincheap corridor is heavily trafficked in all options but comes under more pressure for Option 1. This is likely to be a consequence of the attraction to trips of the additional slip road from the A2 southbound.

Key locations identified:

- St George's Place with Upper and Lower Bridge Street all options
- Kingsmead, Military Road, Broad Street, Pin Hill, Rhodaus Town and Upper Bridge Street all options
- A28 / A291 junction all options
- A28 Wincheap route Option 1
- London Road / A290 Options 2 and 3
- A28 Sturry and Broad Oak Road Option 2 and 3



Figure 6-B Option 1 Link Volume to Capacity Ratio





Figure 6-D Option 3 Link Volume to Capacity



Figure 6-F Option 2 Mean Turn Delay



Figure 6-G Option 3 Mean Turn Delay

The three options tested demonstrate a similar level of overall network performance but each option has a unique set of characteristics summarised below:

Option 1

- This option presents the highest development potential which is accompanied by high levels of travel demand.
- Increased pressure on the Wincheap corridor is partly due to traffic attracted to the new A2 off slip and partly to development at Thanington.
- The new A2 junction at bridge helps to reduce pressure on the A2050 approach to the city from the south even with new development in this sector.
- Lower traffic movement are recorded across the inner cordon in the AM peak indicating some release of pressure on the city centre network.
- Lower levels of delay are recorded at St Georges Place and Oaten Hill junctions.
- Higher traffic movements are recorded crossing the outer cordon as traffic uses the new access to/from the A2 at Bridge and Wincheap.

Option 2

- This option has a lower net development which generates a similar level of travel demand to Option 3.
- Development at Broad Oak, Sturry and Hersden contribute to increased pressure on the A28 corridor, on Broad Oak Road / Shalloak Road and on the A290 / A28 junction.
- There is an increase in pressure on radial routes from the north including Whitstable Road, Tyler Hill and Broad Oak Road / Shalloak Road.
- Higher levels of traffic movements are recorded across the inner cordon in the PM peak.
- Lower levels of traffic movements are recorded across the outer cordon in both peaks.
- Congestion is indicated at St. George's Place, and Oaten Hill junction.

Option 3

- This option has a lower net development allocation which generates a similar level of travel demand to Option 2.
- In the AM peak this option results in a high total vehicle distance travelled and a higher volume of traffic crossing the inner cordon.
- Development at Broad Oak, Sturry and Hersden contribute to increased pressure on the A28 corridor, on Broad Oak Road / Shalloak Road and on the A290 / A28 junction.
- Congestion is indicated at St. George's Place, and Oaten Hill junction.
- There is an increase in pressure on radial routes from the north including Whitstable Road and Broad Oak Road / Shalloak Road.
- •

Canterbury is the primary centre for retail, employment, services and education etc for the district. The city is the key trip attractor / generator and development outside Canterbury will inevitably generate a significant number of trips to and from the city.

The highway and public transport network caters for a complex web of movement around the city between different sectors. The essentially radial character of the highway and bus network means that much of the movement across the city is funnelled through the central area.

The Canterbury VISUM model has been used to assess three scenarios which include potential development in and around the city and the coastal towns in differing proportions.

The existing model network is already operating at capacity. Additional trips generated by the development for each option puts pressure on the already overloaded model network. The model attempts in the first instance to accommodate the additional travel demand making the maximum use of the highway network within the constraints it presents. The increase in delay and travel time generated results in the shift of a higher proportion of trips to bus and rail modes.

In general larger development sites have more potential for mode shift and for the containment of more trips locally. It would be more difficult to encourage mode shift for a more fragmented development which is likely to generate more car trips. Development further away from Canterbury but served by good access to public transport would have potential to shift modes given sufficient incentives eg well planned services, attractive fares, avoidance of delay by buses.

The options tested at this stage are based on preliminary assumptions about highway improvements, proposed PT provision and on existing costs for fuel, parking and fares. Refinement of these assumptions, to include sustainable travel initiatives and anticipated policy changes, will have an impact on the travel demand generated and on the likely impact on the highway and public transport network.

The outcome of the assessment is that the differences seen in the highway model performance and output between the options are limited in scale. Option 1 currently appears to have a marginally better performance than the other options despite the higher development allocation. Network improvements, such as those proposed for Option 1, provide some more capacity and flexibility for highway traffic. The shift to public transport is enhanced by the provision of additional or more frequent services but this could be increased by incorporating additional incentives.

Appendix A Development Data

	Site	Model	Leastion		Residential Units		
	id	Zone		Option 1	Option 2	Option 3	
	001	301	Land north of Thanet Way Whit		400	400	
	007	237	Golden Hills Farm, Bushy Hill Rd, CT2 0HE		140		
	009	308	Land at Maydowns Rd		50		
	010 313 Greehhill, Herne Bay	Greehhill, Herne Bay	500	500	500		
	011	234	Strode Farm, Herne Bay	800	800	800	
	012	316	Herne Bay golf driving range Bullockstone rd		40		
	013	313	Land west of Bullockstone Rd		190		
	032	318	Cedar House Blacksole Bridge Margate Rd		60		
	038	62	St Martin's Hospital	200	200	200	
	041	237	Former colliery land, south of A28		540	(with site 148)	
	051	310	Land at Studd Hill Whitstable Rd CT6 8B		250		
	061	44	Canterbury TEC Littlebourne rd		100		
	070	216	Thanington	1500			
	071	309	Thornden Close, Herne Bay		200		
	074	94	Land East of Hollow Lane		100		
-	076	237	Land at Bredlands Lane			(with site 148)	
	083	205	Land South of Little Hall Farm, St Stephens Hill - Alcroft Grange	1100		1200	
	086	105	Part of Nackington Farm, Nackington Rd			500	
	090	241	Land rear of 32 Jubilee Rd, Littlebourne			200	
	091	241	Land rear of The Hill, Littlebourne			(with site 148)	
	096	237	Spires Academy, Bredlands Lane, CT2 0HD		120	(with site 148)	
	105	72	6/7 Rhodaus Town, CT1 2RJ		100	100	
	106	216	Ashford Rd Thannington			480	
	107	39	Parkside County Primary School, Tennyson Ave		200	200	
	121	58	Spring Lane		100	100	
	123	86	Land at Langton Lane		160	160	
	129	319	Hillborough, Herne Bay	1400	1400	1400	
	130	308	Land south of Ridgeway Chestfield CT5 3LY		250		
	135	304	42 Golden Hill		200	200	
	136	308	Land at Grasmere Rd, South Tankerton		90		
	137	216	Thanington	1000			
	138	244	Barham Court Farm, The Street			50	
	140	247	Land East of Rattington Street			675	
	143	206	Broad Oak / Sturry			700	
	147	102	Simon Langton Girl's School	270	270	270	
	148	237	Hersden north		800	1500	
	177	206	Land at Broad Oak Farm, Sturry Hill, Sweechgate		1200		
	178	308	Land at Bodkin farm Thanet Way Chestfield		650		
	183	44	MoD Land at junction of Howe Barracks		200	200	
	184	35	MoD Land at Chaucer Rd & Garrison Hill		225	225	
	186	212	Land at Brickfield Farm Mill Lane, Bridge			100	

187	237	Hopland Farm, Island Rd Hersden CT3 4HD		140				
190	108	Ridlands Farm Stuppington Lane CT13LJ		150	150			
196	26	Craddock House, Craddock Rd		156				
200	237	Westbere Quarry, Island Rd, Westbere		90				
201	212	Land west of A2 at Bridge			80			
202	233	Land off Bramley Gardens		120				
206	60	Mountfield Park (S Canterbury)	2000					
206	105	Mountfield Park (S Canterbury)	2000					
208	316	Herne Bay Golf Course	300	300	200			
Res	Residential Development							

Residential Development

Model	Location	C	Commercial (sq	m)
Zone		Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
234	Strode Farm, Herne Bay	12000	18000	12000
205	Land South of Little Hall Farm, St Stephens Hill - Alcroft Grange	28000		
247	Land East of Rattington Street	15000	30000	16000
35	MoD Land at Chaucer Rd & Garrison Hill			5000
108	Ridlands Farm Stuppington Lane CT13LJ		5000	
60	Mountfield Park (S Canterbury)	70000		
237	Land adjacent to Lakesview, Hersden		66500	66500
	Model Zone 234 205 247 35 108 60 237	Model ZoneLocation234Strode Farm, Herne Bay205Land South of Little Hall Farm, St Stephens Hill - Alcroft Grange247Land East of Rattington Street35MoD Land at Chaucer Rd & Garrison Hill108Ridlands Farm Stuppington Lane CT13LJ60Mountfield Park (S Canterbury)237Land adjacent to Lakesview, Hersden	Model ZoneLocationOption 1234Strode Farm, Herne Bay12000205Land South of Little Hall Farm, St Stephens Hill - Alcroft Grange28000247Land East of Rattington Street1500035MoD Land at Chaucer Rd & Garrison Hill108108Ridlands Farm Stuppington Lane CT13LJ7000060Mountfield Park (S Canterbury)70000237Land adjacent to Lakesview, Hersden	Model ZoneLocationCommercial (sq. Option 1234Strode Farm, Herne Bay1200018000205Land South of Little Hall Farm, St Stephens Hill - Alcroft Grange2800018000247Land East of Rattington Street150003000035MoD Land at Chaucer Rd & Garrison Hill50005000108Ridlands Farm Stuppington Lane CT13LJ5000500060Mountfield Park (S Canterbury)7000066500

Commercial Development



Location of Infrastructure Changes

Appendix B Location of Housing and Commercial Development



Option 1 - Location of Housing Development by Model Zone

Option 2 - Location of Housing Development by Model Zone

Option 3 - Location of Housing Development by Model Zone

Option 1 - Location of Commercial Development by Model Zone

Option 2 - Location of Commercial Development by Model Zone

Option 3 - Location of Commercial Development by Model Zone

Appendix C Composition of Canterbury City Travel Demand

Option 1 AM peak – Composition of Trips to and from the Canterbury City Area

Option 2 AM peak – Composition of Trips to and from the Canterbury City Area

Option 3 AM peak – Composition of Trips to and from the Canterbury City Area

- Canterbury is the primary centre for retail, employment, education, services etc. The city is a key attractor and generator of trips across the district. The highway network in Canterbury is already at capacity. Additional development will inevitably put pressure on an overloaded network.
- The model has been used to assess three potential development scenarios and outline measures to support them. The models have been developed to provide a reasonable and balanced comparison between the scenarios, based on the information available.
- The three scenarios include development in and around the city, nearby centres and coastal towns in differing proportions. The three development scenarios result in a total demand of between 49500 and 50100 person trips across the model in the peak hour.
- Increased highway demand and consequent delays result in traffic taking longer routes, longer journey times which in turn causes an increase in travel costs. Increase in travel time and delay encourages a shift to public transport.
- Option 1 has the highest total development allocation and also includes improved access to the A2 at Wincheap and Bridge. This option generates the highest travel demand and the highest total vehicle kilometres across the city, but the lowest level of congested travel time. The new links to the A2 provide some additional network capacity and flexibility.
- The key differences between the options emerge from the quantity of development being handled by the modelled networks and the potential for mode shift from highway to public transport.
- The model output indicates that given the constraints on the highway network, one of the key targets must be to encourage walking or cycling or public transport modes where possible. The means to achieve this may be through targeted travel plans for schools and businesses, parking policy to control availability and fees, public transport fares, services and routes, park and ride promotion and provision.

General comments

- Larger scale residential development sites present the opportunity for the inclusion of local facilities and services such as schools, health provision etc. It would be reasonable to assume a higher proportion of walk and cycle trips in this case, reducing the demand on the network. The larger developments may also have the potential to support highway and infrastructure improvements to benefit Canterbury as a whole.
- Developments outside the city centre are likely to generate less sustainable trips. The potential for walk and cycle trips is more limited if the destination of the trips is outside the immediate area. Access to a public transport may be more limited than that available within the city.

• Development near to the A2 and A299 will benefit from the access to the available capacity on the major road network. However trips destined for the city will contribute to and suffer from the overloaded network in Canterbury.