Patron Her Majesty The Queen

The British Horse Society

Email enquiry@bhs.org.uk

Abbey Park, Stareton, Website www.bhs.org.uk

Kenilworth.

Tel 02476 840500 Fax 02476 840501

Bringing Horses and People Together

Warwickshire CV8 2XZ

The British Horse Society

Canterbury District Local Plan
Via email consultations@canterbury.gov.uk

19th December 2022

RE: Canterbury District Local Plan consultation

I am responding to this consultation on behalf of The British Horse Society, the UK's largest equestrian charity, representing the country's 3 million horse riders.

The questions contained within the consultation questionnaire do not lend themselves to our response and so we are submitting it in this letter.

Key information

- **3813**ⁱ passported horses are owned by people living in the postcodes contained within Canterbury District Council area, contributing in excess of £21 millionⁱⁱ to the economy, much of which is spent locally (feed, bedding, farriers, vets, riding instructors and riding schools, etc.).
- Horse riders have the right to access just 16.7% of the public rights of way network in Kent, with substantially less for carriage drivers. Many of these routes are inaccessible or disconnected as a result of increased traffic and/or development.
- Research undertaken by the University of Brighton and Plumpton College on behalf of The British Horse Societyⁱⁱⁱ found that
 - More than two thirds (68%) of respondents participated in horse riding and associated activities for 30 minutes or more at least three times a week. Sport England estimates that such a level of sporting activity will help an individual achieve or exceed the government's recommended minimum level of physical activity.
 - A range of evidence indicates the vast majority (90% plus) of horse riders are female and more than a third (37%) of the female riders of respondents were above 45 years of age. Horse riding is especially well placed to play a valuable role in initiatives to encourage increased physical activity amongst women of all ages.
 - Amongst the horse riders who took part in the survey, 39% had taken no other form of physical
 activity in the last four weeks. This highlights the importance of riding to these people, who might
 otherwise be sedentary.
 - Horse riders with a long-standing illness or disability who took part in the survey are able to
 undertake horse riding and associated activities at the same self-reported level of frequency
 and physical intensity as those without such an illness or disability.

No-one is better qualified to demonstrate this than our new ambassador, six-time Paralympic Gold Medallist and World Champion, Natasha Baker OBE https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pLi-89WWlkc

Planning Policy, etc.

National Planning Policy Framework

- Paragraph 98 states that, "Access to a network of high quality open spaces and opportunities for sport and physical activity is important for the health and well-being of communities..." Providing for equestrians helps to fulfil this requirement
- Paragraph 100 says, "Planning policies and decisions should protect and enhance public rights of way
 and access, including taking opportunities to provide better facilities for users, for example by adding
 links to existing rights of way networks including National Trails." The inclusion of equestrians within
 these enhancements and improved links only improves the value for money of such undertakings.

Kent County Council Right of Way Improvement Plan (ROWIP)

The ROWIP has a significant role in supporting sustainable economic growth in the county, directly contributing to transport, green infrastructure and open spaces; three areas identified in Kent and Medway Growth and Infrastructure Framework as critical to support growth in the period to 2031. Much of this is only achievable through support from local councils in their planning policies.

"The ROWIP will aim to encourage active lifestyles through:[...]

• working in partnership with planning authorities and developers to create well-designed, accessible environments that encourage active travel and walking, cycling and horse riding as leisure and recreational pursuits."

AL01 Increase health & wellbeing benefits

"1.3 Improve connectivity and consider equestrian and other parking where reasonable to encourage recreational and leisure activity; including access to country parks, honey pot sites and other facilities of high leisure use, such as National Trails, promoted routes and routes within and leading to AONBs."

"1.5 Work with partners to support implementation of health improvement initiatives, such as Walking for Health, cycling and equestrian initiatives and GP referrals."

AL02 Active Travel

"1.9 Provide motorised traffic free, safe walking, cycling and equestrian and routes linking to towns, urban and rural areas. Seek to provide longer distance links between urban centres."

KT06 Grow New Markets

"3.17 Improving priority routes which facilitate horse riding, cycling and walking for more targeted tourist leisure and recreational use"

It is evident from a health & well-being viewpoint, as well as an economic one, that the Borough Council should include the needs of equestrians in every aspect of their plan but in particular those aspects involving active travel and health and wellbeing. *Most* walking and cycling journeys are made for leisure purposes and *most* journeys on horse-back or in a horse and carriage are also made for leisure purposes. Active Travel does not mean Active Commuting; it simply means using an active means of getting from A to B. It should not preclude equestrians because few can ride or carriage drive to work or school.

| Policy DS12 – | We welcome the inclusion of equestrian considerations |
|------------------|---|
| Rural Economy | |
| Policy DS24 – | "Opportunities for informal activities close to home or work or enhancement of the |
| Publicly | appearance of residential or other areas. Routes providing walking, cycling <mark>and</mark> horse |
| accessible open | riding, whether for leisure purposes or travel." |
| space and sports | We very much welcome the inclusion of horse riding routes but "or" should be replaced with "and" |
| p34 Site C6 | "(vii) Improvements to the PRoW network crossing and around the site as required" We welcome this provided that equestrians are provided for within these crossings |

Examples of places within the consultation document where horse riders and, indeed, carriage drivers could and should be included are listed below (our comments or emphasis in red):

| | luded are listed below (our comments of emphasis in red). |
|------------------------|---|
| p10 Sustainable | "1.14 The design and layout of new communities must be carefully considered to |
| Design Strategy for | maximise sustainability, such as by ensuring access to community facilities and |
| the District | services and providing easy and safe opportunities for walking and cycling with |
| | appropriate connectivity to the wider network." |
| | The provision for walking and cycling needs to include equestrians who would also |
| | benefit from connectivity with the wider PROW network and quieter lanes. |
| Policy C5 South West | The provision of neighbourhoods designed for active and sustainable travel, |
| Canterbury | designed to reduce vehicle speeds, and providing connectivity with the wider |
| | cycling and walking network including enhancements to Public Rights of Way; |
| | This provision needs to include equestrians who would also benefit from |
| | connectivity with the wider PROW network and quieter lanes. |
| p32-34 Site C6 | "(ii) 11.06ha of new amenity green space (including green corridors) in addition to |
| | the retention of the existing amenity space at Lime Kiln Road (0.36ha) and the existing Stuppington Lane Green Corridor;" |
| | Green corridors need to include horse riders to provide connectivity to the |
| | widerPROW network and quieter lanes |
| | "(e) Retain and enhance elements of historic landscape including field boundaries, |
| | hedgerows, footpaths and lanes, and incorporate these where possible and |
| | appropriate into the design and layout of the development;" |
| | This provision needs to include equestrians who would also benefit from |
| | connectivity with the wider PROW network and quieter lanes. |
| | "(k) Conserve or enhance the PRoW network across the site ensuring key views |
| | from the network are protected and that the walking, cycling and PRoW network |
| | provides multiple benefits such as being designed as part of ecological corridors" |
| | Enhancement can be achieved by upgrading the footpath network to at least |
| | bridleway status to include horse riders and cyclists |
| | "(v) New and improved walking and cycling connections to the wider countryside |
| | to the south and south-east;" |
| | This provision needs to include equestrians who would also benefit from |
| | connectivity with the wider PROW network and quieter lanes |
| | Connectivity with the wider rivow network and quieter lanes |
| | There is an important link for NMUs south and east via Merton Farm - bridleway |
| | CB350 turns to a footpath through farm. Development here should require an |
| | upgrade to the footpath to bridleway status or higher, together with a suitable |
| | link into Mountfield Park from Merton Lane. |
| p35 Policy C7 – Land | Within this site and others adjacent, there is the potential to provide an off road |
| to the north of Hollow | link to connect Hollow Lane to bridleway CB494, south of Cockering Farm. |
| Lane | min to connect honow take to bridieway co-5-, south of cockering rainl. |
| p39 Policy C8 - Milton | The opportunity to provide an upgrade to restricted byway (or bridleway) of the |
| Manor House | footpath CB488 link to byway CB469 should be taken, especially as this is part of a |
| IVIATIOI TIOUSE | promoted long distance route |
| p71 Policy C21 - Land | Bridleway linkages must be retained and enhanced wherever possible |
| at Canterbury | Bridieway inikages mast be retained and emigneed wherever possible |
| Business Park | |
| p78 Policy C24 – Land | Using SUSTRANS 1, it would be possibly to create a circular route round area |
| to the south of Sturry | south of A257 for equestrians, cyclists and walkers. |
| Road | 30ddi of A237 for Equestrialis, cyclists and walkers. |
| | North of A299 There is potential here for a link south to Clowes wood via. Cycle |
| p86-100 W4/5/6/7/8 | |
| South Whitstable | route 1. Following the loss of access to Blean Woods for equestrians, this is key. |

| nalisias . | Walking and auding soutes need to include acceptains use |
|-------------------------|--|
| policies | Walking and cycling routes need to include equestrian use. |
| p109 Policy HB4 – | Especially following the loss of access to Blean Woods for equestrians, walking |
| Land to the west of | and cycling routes here need to include equestrian use. |
| Thornden Wood Road | |
| p122 Policy R1 – Land | This area is very important for equestrians. There are many links to bridleways |
| at Cooting Farm | and byways and one of the current footpaths is subject to a Definitive Map |
| | Modification order claim that the path should be recorded as a restricted byway. |
| | As a result, any applications would need to consider that these are in progress. |
| p128 Policy R2 & R3 – | As previously indicated, walking and cycling opportunities need to include a right |
| Rural service centres | for equestrian use. |
| p130 Policy R4 – Land | There is an important opportunity here to create off road link for all vulnerable |
| at Mill Field | road users (VRU/NMU) which avoids using Tyler Hill Rd. |
| p132 – Policy R5 | Green corridors must align with BHS proposals/aspirations |
| Bridge | |
| p157 Sturry (various | Opportunities arising from the proposed link south over railway south to Bridge |
| policies | need to be explored/promoted and include all VRUs. |
| p176 Policy R24, R25, | Arising from these policies, it is imperative that there is a circular route for ALL |
| R26 Broad Oak, | VRUs (including equestrians) around the reservoir and equestrian links in and out |
| Reservoir and Country | to other routes. |
| Park | |
| p187 Policy R28 – | As explained in the key information, access to the countryside is a key aspect to |
| Countryside | equestrianism and its significant contribution to health and wellbeing. Any |
| | proposals to make access to and through the countryside needs to include |
| | equestrians |
| p194 Policy DS4 – | Provisions for sustainable transport should also include equestrians unless there is |
| Rural housing | evidence based reason for their exclusion. |
| | Further it should be considered that increased use of horses for local work and |
| | journeys could even happen in the future. |
| | This link to an <u>article</u> in the Guardian (Nov 22) |
| | |
| p203 Policy DS7 – | Making path construction suitable for all modes of non motorised users provides |
| Infrastructure delivery | further benefit to the local community. |
| p212 Policy DS11 & | Providing good circular routes within and between developments will lead to |
| DS12 – Tourism | increased equestrian tourism, creating an increase in business opportunities for |
| development and | livery yards, B&Bs, pubs, etc. |
| Rural economy | |
| p215 Policy DS13 – | This hierarchy needs to include equestrians along with cyclists |
| Movement hierarchy | |
| p216 Policy DS14 – | "Existing Public Rights of Way should be retained or, where necessary and where |
| Active and sustainable | the need outweighs the harm, rerouted and upgraded to avoid development |
| travel | providing a publicly accessible, high quality route." |
| | For ALL legitimate users. Where diverted and where onward connectivity might |
| | be possible, then existing PROW should be upgraded to at least bridleway status |
| | to enable use by walkers, cyclists AND equestrians. This will provide some |
| | mitigation for additional traffic on local roads. |
| | "Routes and access should be designed to be safe and inclusive and meet the |
| | needs of all pedestrians and cyclists, including disabled people and the mobility |
| | impaired." |
| | inpunca. |
| | Where there is connectivity to existing higher status PROW and/or lanes used by |
| p217 Policy DS15 – | , |

| Highways and parking | should be accompanied by a transport statement or assessment and a travel plan. The requirement will be judged on a case by case basis taking into consideration the existing road network capacity and constraints, the anticipated trips generated and the level of parking proposed. These documents must be comprehensive, robust, and demonstrate clearly how the development meets the requirements of the council's movement policies, including how: (a) The design and layout of the development aligns with the Movement Hierarchy; and (b) Walking and cycling mode share will be maximised, identifying opportunities for off-site improvements to routes connecting the development to local facilities and public transport networks;" These transport assessments must take into account other vulnerable road users |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| | impacted by the development, including horse riders and carriage drivers and walking and cycling opportunities provided must incorporate them by way of mitigation. |
| 233 Policy DS24 – | Under Amenity green space and Green Corridors |
| Publicly accessible | We welcome the inclusion of horse riding but would like the word "or" replaced |
| open space and sports | with "and" |
| | "(e) Be easily found and accessible walking and cycling, and public transport and road where appropriate, including by those with disabilities, with pedestrian |
| | crossings on roads where necessary;" |
| | AND horse-riding. Crossings on road need to be multi use |
| p263 Appendix 2 | Active Travel Journeys that are made by physically active means, including |
| Glossary | walking, or cycling or horse-riding. |
| p264 Green Corridors | Green corridors link housing areas to the national cycle network and public rights of way network, town centres, employment areas and community facilities. They |
| | help to promote environmentally sustainable forms of transport such as walking |
| | and cycling within urban areas as well as providing valuable connectivity for other |
| | non motorised users such as horse riders and carriage drivers and can also act as |
| | vital linkages for wildlife dispersal between wetlands and the countryside. |
| Policy T2 (from 2017 Local Plan) | This should be extended in the new local plan to include horse-riding and, where possible, carriage driving |
| | , , , |

Summary

- The upgrading of existing footpaths to bridleways where onward connectivity is desirable (for equestrians as well as cyclists) to local roads and other bridleways and byways should form part of planning permission granted on these sites.
- Internal "loops"/green space should include equestrians by default.
- "Behind the hedge" paths should be provided next to roads to keep NMU/VRUs off the roads alongside new developments.
- Developer contributions should be sought to provide local equestrian light controlled crossings (for the benefit of all NMU/VRUs) where required or where likely to be required in the future with further development thus improving and/or maintaining connectivity.
- Bridleways and restricted byways must NOT be used as access roads
- The aspirations of KCC's ROWIP should be borne in mind in all applications. It is obvious in larger proposed developments but even quite small sites might provide key locations for improvement.

As always, we would be very willing to work with the Council and would be developers in providing these improvements for EVERY vulnerable road user.

Yours faithfully

Sarah Rayfield (Mrs.) Access Field Officer – London & South East



ⁱ DEFRA FoI Request April 2021

ii BETA – The National Equestrian Survey 2019
iii https://www.bhs.org.uk/media/gannghxh/health-benefits-of-riding-in-the-uk-full-report.pdf

Patron Her Majesty The Queen

The British Horse Society

Email enquiry@bhs.org.uk
Website www.bhs.org.uk

Stareton, Kenilworth.

Abbey Park,

Tel 02476 840500 Fax 02476 840501

Bringing Horses and People Together

Warwickshire CV8 2XZ

The British Horse Society

Canterbury District Local Plan
Via email consultations@canterbury.gov.uk

8th May 2024

RE: Canterbury District Local Plan consultation

I am responding to this consultation on behalf of The British Horse Society, the UK's largest equestrian charity, representing the country's 3 million horse riders.

The questions contained within the consultation questionnaire do not lend themselves to our response and so we are submitting it in this letter.

Our response is fundamentally the same as that submitted in December 2022 albeit that individual policy numbers and page numbers have not been referenced. (Please find our December response attached) A summary of our proposals are at the foot of this letter.

Key information

- **3813**ⁱ passported horses are owned by people living in the postcodes contained within Canterbury District Council area, contributing in excess of £26 millionⁱⁱ to the economy, much of which is spent locally (feed, bedding, farriers, vets, riding instructors and riding schools, etc.).
- Horse riders have the right to access just **16.7% of the public rights of way network in Kent**, with substantially less for carriage drivers. Many of these routes are inaccessible or disconnected as a result of increased traffic and/or development.
- British Horse Society statistics for road incidents involving horses and riders for 2023 show that:
 - o A total of 3,383 road incidents involving horses were recorded with the BHS
 - Of those, 66 horses died and 86 were injured
 - o Three people died, and 94 people were injured
 - o 23% of riders were victims of road rage or abuse
 - 85% of incidents occurred because a vehicle passed by too closely or quickly.
- Research undertaken by the University of Brighton and Plumpton College on behalf of The British Horse Societyⁱⁱⁱ found that
 - More than two thirds (68%) of respondents participated in horse riding and associated activities for 30 minutes or more at least three times a week. Sport England estimates that such a level of sporting activity will help an individual achieve or exceed the government's recommended minimum level of physical activity.
 - A range of evidence indicates the vast majority (90% plus) of horse riders are female and more than a third (37%) of the female riders of respondents were above 45 years of age. Horse riding is especially well placed to play a valuable role in initiatives to encourage increased physical activity amongst women of all ages.
 - Amongst the horse riders who took part in the survey, 39% had taken no other form of physical
 activity in the last four weeks. This highlights the importance of riding to these people, who might
 otherwise be sedentary.

The British Horse Society is an Appointed Representative of South Essex Insurance Brokers Limited who are authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Horse riders with a long-standing illness or disability who took part in the survey are able to
undertake horse riding and associated activities at the same self-reported level of frequency
and physical intensity as those without such an illness or disability.

For all the reasons detailed, it is evident that there are sound financial, health and wellbeing and safety reasons for ensuring the inclusion of equestrians within planning policies as well as walkers and cyclists.

Planning Policy, etc.

National Planning Policy Framework

"104. Planning policies and decisions should protect and enhance public rights of way and access, **including taking opportunities to provide better facilities for users**, for example by adding links to existing rights of way networks including National Trails." (my emphasis)

Providing for equestrians within this plan meets with NPPF requirements.

Kent County Council Right of Way Improvement Plan (ROWIP)

The ROWIP has a significant role in supporting sustainable economic growth in the county, directly contributing to transport, green infrastructure and open spaces; three areas identified in Kent and Medway Growth and Infrastructure Framework as critical to support growth in the period to 2031. Much of this is only achievable through support from local councils in their planning policies.

"The ROWIP will aim to encourage active lifestyles through:[...]

• working in partnership with planning authorities and developers to create well-designed, accessible environments that encourage active travel and walking, cycling and horse riding as leisure and recreational pursuits."

AL01 Increase health & wellbeing benefits

"1.3 Improve connectivity and consider equestrian and other parking where reasonable to encourage recreational and leisure activity; including access to country parks, honey pot sites and other facilities of high leisure use, such as National Trails, promoted routes and routes within and leading to AONBs."

"1.5 Work with partners to support implementation of health improvement initiatives, such as Walking for Health, cycling and equestrian initiatives and GP referrals."

AL02 Active Travel

"1.9 Provide motorised traffic free, safe walking, cycling and equestrian and routes linking to towns, urban and rural areas. Seek to provide longer distance links between urban centres."

KT06 Grow New Markets

"3.17 Improving priority routes which facilitate horse riding, cycling and walking for more targeted tourist leisure and recreational use"

It is evident from a health & well-being viewpoint, as well as an economic one, that the Borough Council should include the needs of equestrians in every aspect of their plan but in particular those aspects involving active travel and health and wellbeing. *Most* walking and cycling journeys are made for leisure purposes and *most* journeys on horse-back or in a horse and carriage are also made for leisure purposes. Active Travel does not mean Active Commuting; it simply means using an active means of getting from A to B. It should not preclude equestrians because few can ride or carriage drive to work or school.

Proposals

Wherever walking and cycling is mentioned in any policies, so too should horse riding and carriage
driving (unless there are cogent and <u>insurmountable</u> reasons for their exclusion).

- The requirement to upgrade existing footpaths to bridleways or restricted byways where onward
 connectivity is desirable (for equestrians as well as cyclists) to local roads and other bridleways and
 byways should form part of planning policy.
- Internal "loops"/green space within development boundaries should include equestrians by default.
- Alongside new developments, "behind the hedge" paths should be required next to roads to keep NMU/VRUs off the roads wherever possible.
- Developer contributions should be sought to provide local equestrian light controlled crossings (for the benefit of all NMU/VRUs) where required or where likely to be required in the future with further development thus improving safety and improving and/or maintaining connectivity.
- Existing PROW must NOT be used as access roads nor should they be "improved" (sealed surface for example) for access travel to the detriment of equestrians and leisure users.
- The aspirations of KCC's ROWIP should be borne in mind in all applications. It is obvious in larger proposed developments but even quite small sites might provide key locations for improvement.
- The protection and provision of routes primarily for leisure purposes for all VRUs should be given equal balance to those for commuting. Health and wellbeing is so important for all

As always, we would be very willing to work with the Council and would-be developers in providing these improvements for EVERY vulnerable road user.

Yours faithfully

Sarah Rayfield (Mrs.)
Access Field Officer – London & South East



ⁱ DEFRA Fol Request April 2021

ⁱⁱ BETA – The National Equestrian Survey 2023

iii https://www.bhs.org.uk/media/gannghxh/health-benefits-of-riding-in-the-uk-full-report.pdf