## **Alexander Gunyon**

From: Michael Bedford

**Sent:** 02 June 2024 18:32 **To:** Consultations

**Subject:** Objection to Local Plan 2040: Policy C12: Land north of the University of Kent

Categories: Blue category

You don't often get email from

Learn why this is important

## -- Email From External Account--

Dear Sir / Madam,

We would like to formally object to the Local Plan 2024: Policy C12: Land north of the University of Kent.

- 1) Site C12 is described in the Sustainability Assessment of the SLAA as a "car-dependent development", which seems likely even with the council's new proposed Bus policies. The two main access points for traffic are both on Whitstable Road, near the Rough Common roundabout. Rough Common Road would require "highways improvements" and two new slip roads on the A2 at Harbledown to be built meaning Rough Common would be a major route into Canterbury. There would also be more traffic coming into Canterbury down Whitstable Road to St Dunstan's roundabout and down St Stephen's Hill, creating more congestion and affecting air quality.
- 2) All of the other surrounding roads are narrow, winding/hilly roads with records of speeding and accidents. The proposed development will be on both sides of Tyler Hill Road, but it says it will "minimise traffic flow onto Tyler Hill Road".
- 3) The Council's 2021 Landscape Character Assessment describes the "strong rural character" of this area with its mix of woodlands, orchards and farmland, and talks about the need to conserve this landscape. The southern part of the site is located in Canterbury's Area of High Landscape Value, and it is between two Sites of Special Scientific Interest RSPB Blean and West Blean Reserve. The C12 proposals are incompatible with the 2021 Land Character Assessment, to which the Local Plan is required to conform.
- 4) The proposal would have impacts on many "heritage assets" including the Church of St Cosmus and St Damian as well as its setting, the remains of a Roman Villa and some Mediaeval Tile Kilns (both scheduled monuments), multiple Listed Buildings and multiple Conservation Areas. The council's Environmental Strategy set out in the Local Plan says "the district's heritage assets are highly sensitive to change and the council is committed to protecting and, where possible, enhancing the historic environment for future".
- 5) The development site is right in the middle of the Blean Woods area, surrounded by various parts of the National Nature Reserve. There are numerous rare and endangered species living in the fields, ponds, hedgerows and Sarre Penn valley. The Council's other policies (eg SS1.10) say that it will continue to work with partners to extend and improve connectivity of the Blean Woodland Complex, but this development would making connectivity impossible.
- 6) The proposed development would mean the loss of large areas of "best and most versatile" Grade 2 and Grade 3 agricultural land (scored out of 5). The Local Plan includes a policy to protect the best quality agricultural land outside of urban and settlement boundaries.
- 7) The two access points for the 2,000 houses are both on Whitstable Road about 400m apart. One of them can only be created by Blean Primary School being demolished and rebuilt elsewhere on the site.
- 8) There are numerous Brownfield sites available in the region which would not require destruction of an area of natural beauty.

- 9) There are numerous birds (for example Nightingales) that come to nest in the area and this development would have a significant impact on these birds which would be lost from the area.
- 10) We would like to understand more about the University of Kent's motives for this development. If this is linked to the financial struggles of the University then we feel the Council should look to assist in other ways, rather than allow destruction of areas of natural beauty to support the finances of the University.

We hope you will take these views and comments into account.

Many thanks,

Best wishes,

Michael and Catherine Bedford.