

Alexander Gunyon

From: Richard Smith [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 June 2024 16:58
To: Consultations
Subject: SAVE BROOKLANDS

Categories: Blue category

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

--Email From External Account--

SAVE BROOKLANDS!

"I object to Policy W4 Brooklands Farm"....
For the following reasons...

The risk of significantly increased flooding at Brooklands and downstream in Chestfield and Swalecliffe; Local health services already badly over-stretched with patients frequently unable to get beds in hospitals Significantly increased traffic on local roads - CCC don't know how bad this will be because the Local Plan traffic modelling was totally inadequate Swalecliffe sewage works already unable to cope with existing demand resulting in serious illness for some visitors to local beaches. This is totally unacceptable for a town with a famous seafood tradition that aspires to be a tourist destination.

Loss of best and most versatile agricultural land.

Loss of beautiful open-landscape and wildlife habitats; bad for genuine biodiversity.

Permanent destruction of the rural nature of the valley of the Swalecliffe Brook, which provides the unofficial Green Belt between urban Whitstable and The Blean. (Why has CCC not provided this with protected status?) Loss of amenity for local residents The development would not be "sustainable". Whitstable is not the right place to build houses for Canterbury commuters.

I strongly object to policies W3 and W4 (Brooklands Farm) of the draft Local Plan to 2040 for the following reasons:

1. Loss of an Area of High Landscape Value with wonderful rural views of the distant Blean. CCC's Landscape Character Assessment 2020 recommended that CCC should reinforce the open rural setting south of Whitstable and resist development in the A299 New Thanet Way corridor.
2. This is not a sustainable place to build a large development, from which (if its like the rest of south Chestfield) more people would be commuting to Canterbury than any other destination, almost all of them by car. It will also contribute to traffic congestion in the north Canterbury area.
3. Increased flood risk downstream on the Swalecliffe Brook. At present heavy rain sits on the farmland before is slowly evaporates or trickles into the Brook. Vast areas of storage would be required to accommodate rainwater run-off from any urban development, but this could not be located close to the Brook because that area already floods when the river level rises over the riverbanks as happened in February.
4. Lack of health facilities. Local residents now find they can't secure an appointment with a local GP, and if they need hospital treatment their three-day stay in Margate's hospital is in the hospital corridor due to lack of beds.
5. The local sewage works cannot cope with the increasing demand, and regularly releases untreated sewage into the sea, causing instances of serious illness for people on local beaches. The new outfall under construction will not solve this problem.
6. The proposed new junction linking the A299 to Chestfield Rd and South Street would create huge increases in traffic, and therefore road safety problems, pollution and noise in residential areas.
7. Bad for our wildlife. Seriously negative impact on Biodiversity. There is a lot of insect life associated with the cattle of Brooklands Farm, and consequently there are swallows, house martins, wagtails, numerous bats and no doubt much more that is less easy to see. There is also wildlife on the arable fields, e.g. skylarks
8. Adverse effect on the Local Wildlife Site at Convicts Wood. Building 1,400 houses nearby would cause it to be severely degraded and used

as a children's recreation area and dog-walking route. Residents would use the area for dumping garden waste, as already happens in comparable areas along the Swalecliffe Brook. Residents' cats would kill off the local wildlife.

9. Loss of "Best and most versatile" agricultural land.

10. CCC needs to recognise that housing developments affect the coastal towns much more severely than Canterbury. Canterbury can expand to north, east, south and west. Whitstable can only expand southwards, as it is constrained by marshland to the west, and by Herne Bay to the east. What little farmland that remains within easy walking distance of Whitstable is needed for residents' recreation and well-being as well as to preserve the last vestiges of the natural setting of this tourist destination town. Therefore, the farmland between the Blean woodland and the existing urban edge of Whitstable should be given statutory protection.

11, Enough is enough there are many developments in and around Whitstable and Herne bay already we moved down from London to live a better non congested environment, if we keep building houses in this area it will soon feel like we are back in London, which brings with it more criminal activities.

12, For the reasons listed above, the proposals would be unsustainable and would contravene paragraphs 135c, 168, 173, 180a, 180b, 180d and 191b of the Government's National Planning Policy Framework.

Tony Smith

