

Alexander Gunyon

From: Seb Delahaye [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 June 2024 16:41
To: Consultations
Subject: Response to Canterbury local plan 2040

Categories: Blue category

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

--Email From External Account--

Hi,

Please see below response to Canterbury local plan.

C12:

The university are in financial trouble and the loss of it would be catastrophic for the area, not least because the students bring in a lot of money to the city.

The university are a huge employer in the area, many in Blean work for them, it closing or downsizing would put many in financial trouble.

Should the student population reduce or disappear, there would be many houses vacant and thus not need to build more.

The university is a willing seller of the land, which is not the case in other areas.

There is better infrastructure north of Canterbury and closer to the city amenities/businesses than in other areas.

The houses in C12 would be of a higher financial value and more popular by residents, as they are within walking distance of the city.

Other comments:

The original R1 'Cooting Farm', I have the following comments:

There is an unbuilt 'new town' at Bridge (Mountfield), where 4000 new homes have not yet been built. Adisham new town should not be built if there is permission to build there Mountfield estate but not a single house built.

C12 has land from a willing and needy seller, however the old R1 proposal has a large proportion on unavailable land, as the tenant farmer has a double life time lease and is unwilling to sell.

Many thanks
Sebastian

Sent from my iPad