

## Alexander Gunyon

---

**From:** Johannie [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 03 June 2024 16:58  
**To:** Consultations  
**Subject:** OBJECTIONS TO POLICY W4 Brooklands Farm

**Categories:** Green category

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

### --Email From External Account--

It would be a travesty if this were to proceed to be the site of 1400 homes. It would be dreadful for wildlife, residents - local and further afield. It is but a country lane, leading to what are no more than country lanes through beautiful countryside and woodland habitats. Getting to work and school is difficult enough at present and is even worse when roadworks are being undertaken which impacts on all routes into and out of Canterbury and the surrounding areas and further afield. People going to work, children going to school would be unfairly targeted.

Those fields are beautiful for the residents of the whole area, walkers, cyclists and birds, creatures, biodiversity etc

The infrastructure for sewage disposal is presently a disaster and such modern creations of on site waste water plants do not convince me that this is sustainable in the short or long term.

Please remove W4 from the Local Plan 2040

Johan Russell  
Canterbury Resident

## Alexander Gunyon

---

**From:** Johannie [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 03 June 2024 16:48  
**To:** Consultations  
**Subject:** LOCAL PLAN 2040 C12 objections

**Categories:** Green category

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

### --Email From External Account--

I STRONGLY OBJECT TO C12

Our historic City of Canterbury needs to be protected from large urban developments such as this proposed by the University of Kent in collaboration with Canterbury City Council. The destruction of our neighbouring countryside by this proposed settlement would result in the loss, forever, of an area of natural beauty, peace, tranquility, nature, heritage. Valuable agricultural land would also be lost forever. It cannot be gone and lost forever. It is unthinkable that our villages could lose our ancient woodlands, that our creatures, some of which are protected species, could be threatened by such a barbaric act that this is planned by the University of Kent and CCC who have always expressed views to protect and nurture our biodiversity and in doing so have been respected and appreciated by the whole community including wildlife groups, English Heritage etc.

All roads/traffic routes leading into and out of this area are two way traffic and single lane with passing places and are used by cyclists, walkers, runners, joggers, horse riders as well as cars. CCC admirably wish to encourage greener methods of movement by promoting a bus first strategy assisted by creating bus lanes and cycle lanes but almost roads from and to Blean, Whitstable, Chestfield, Tyler Hill to reach Canterbury and beyond are not wide enough to create bus lanes and/or cycle lanes, many have no pavements. Residents, particularly older residents who use the bus services report the buses are notoriously unreliable and don't run to the timetables. The bus service along Rough Common Road was withdrawn recently on grounds of being unprofitable. CCC don't run a bus service and it would seem there is no traffic impact research available. Surely this is essential given the extent of this proposed development.

I understand it is planned to create a further two access points following the demolition of Blean Primary School, the upgrading of Rough Common Road, the removal of parking bays on Rough Common Road, the creation of two on and off A2 slip roads which surely points with certainty that Rough Common Road will become a busy bypass. This will be devastating for people who live in the area of Rough Common Road and increase levels of pollution in an area where children walk to and from school.

CCC stated at a meeting that no traffic impact survey is available and will not be available before the 3 June 2024 deadline. This is ridiculous. At another meeting Avison Young advised they have carried out a microsimulation transport assessment which CCC know about however this assessment is not in the public domain.

The University of Kent say this land is surplus to their needs hence the corroboration with CCC to create a new car dependent town in the middle of our villages. Lives will be miserably impacted for years, properties blighted, agricultural land lost - It is a horror unfolding before our eyes.

On-site waste water treatment plants as solution to Stodmarsh nutrient neutrality issue will surely bring even more traffic to the area and where will the 'contents' go if not to the nearest existing treatment plant and end up back in our waterways and seas. How can this method of sewage disposal be acceptable?

There is no GP practice in the area and Canterbury has no A&E - further no assessment is available as to how this will be addressed in the future.

I am dumbfounded that this development could be considered and feel tremendously let down by the University and CCC. It is an act of vandalism in our modern times and if this blot on the landscape is not removed from C12 local plan then I fear there will be unwanted consequences for our Council and the University of Kent.

Please remove this C12 policy from the Local Plan as quickly as possible.

Johan Russell  
Resident of Blean