

Alexander Gunyon

From: Lisa Williams [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 June 2024 16:51
To: Consultations
Subject: I object to chapter 2 (Canterbury) policy site C12 and objections to policy site W4
Categories: Green category

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

--Email From External Account--

Please accept this email as an **objection to Policy C12** the housing development on land north of the University of Kent and also **objection to Policy W3 and W4** Land on Brooklands farm.

Lisa Williams
[REDACTED]

My reasons for objecting to Policy C12 are;

The loss of biodiversity in the area, the loss of a greenfield site, The increase in traffic volume and pollution, The road structure and lack of suitable roads to complete such a development, the pollution and destruction of habitat which would occur by creating new roads and accessibility which does not appear to be addressed in the plan, the destruction of important wildlife corridors in the Blean, the increased threat of flooding by concreting over the clay soil and woodland soil, Tyler Hill Road already floods frequently in the winter and Spring, more sewage and water use when the infra structure Canterbury and surrounding areas in already not able to cope, the pressure that will be put on GP services, hospital services, social services, the loss of the historically important crab and winkle way, which according to the plans will be affected by the construction traffic and the road infrastructure, the fact that the housing need has been miscalculated and is not taking into consideration the fact that Canterbury's population is not growing as quickly as predicted, the loss of ancient trees and ancient woodland which has been proven as ancient even though the planners dispute this.

My reasons for objecting to Policy W4, land on Brooklands Farm in Whitstable are;

The lack of adequate infrastructure for traffic and the loss of land and woodland for the infrastructure to be put in place, the increase of pollution from the increase in traffic, the lack of adequate infrastructure for sewage which Whitstable is already under great pressure, the lack of adequate gas piping and infrastructure within Whitstable and the surrounding areas, the old gas piping is not coping and there have been several major gas leaks on Milstrood hill, Belmont Road, Canterbury road this year, The very significant risk of increased flooding at Brooklands, Chestfield and Swalecliffe, there has been significant increased flooding downstream from the development at the top of the Duncan Downs off the old Thanet way, The loss of versatile agricultural land which is important now and in the future for food production and bio diversity, The destruction of the rural nature of the area which provides a nature corridor and is an unofficial green belt between Whitstable and the Blean, The fact that this size of development is not sustainable in the area - the planned housing development will seriously affect the coastal town of Whitstable and the residents well being for all the reasons mentioned above. I believe the proposal contravenes paragraph 135c, 168, 173, 180a, 180b 180d and 191b of the governments National Planning policy framework.