

## Alexander Gunyon

---

**From:** Bethan lee [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 03 June 2024 16:23  
**To:** Consultations  
**Subject:** Policy C12

**Categories:** Green category

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

### --Email From External Account--

To Whom it May Concern:

My name is Bethan Lee and I live at [REDACTED]  
I am writing with regard to policy C12 of the 2040 draft local plan.

I strongly object to this proposal and refer to a recent [REDACTED] failed planning application by myself and my husband [REDACTED] to build a single dwelling at the above address on a substantial plot to the rear of our garden.

Our application failed on the principle that the proposed dwelling "would fail to have sufficient regard for the character, setting and context of the site, and would harm the setting of the Blean Conservation Area".

With this in mind, please can the planning department explain why policy C12 does not fall foul of this issue? Why would building one single dwelling spoil the character of the area but 2000 houses will not?

Given that our proposed development ran adjacent to (not in) the Conservation area, why is the council now proposing a much larger development that not only runs adjacent to, but also encompasses the very same conservation area?

Has the council had a complete change of policy in the last twelve months, or is it simply corrupt?

I would like to hear your response to this question as there appears to be a huge inconsistency when it comes to the decision making.

Yours sincerely,

Bethan Lee