

## Alexander Gunyon

---

**From:** Lucy B [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 21 May 2024 10:33  
**To:** Consultations  
**Subject:** PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF BROOKLANDS FARM WHITSTABLE

**Categories:** Blue category

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

### --Email From External Account--

To whom it may concern,

I **object** to the above proposed development of Brooklands Farm Whitstable.

My objections are as follows:

This development significantly increases the risk of flooding at Brooklands and downstream in Chestfield and Swalecliffe.

Local health services are already severely over stretched with patients frequently unable to get beds in hospitals and more housing such as this will increase this.

There will be a significant increase in traffic on local roads. The Local Plan traffic modelling was inadequate so this is an unknown.

Swalecliffe sewage works is already unable to cope with existing demand and this has resulted in serious illness for some visitors to the local beaches. This is unacceptable from a general health position and also for a town that relies on its seafood industry and tourism.

There will be loss of some of the best and most versatile agricultural land.

There will be loss of open- landscape wildlife habitats and will be terrible for genuine biodiversity.

This will cause permanent destruction of the rural nature of the valley of the Swalecliffe Brook - this provides the unofficial Green Belt between urban Whitstable and The Blean - this should have been provided with Green Belt status.

There will be a loss of amenity for local residents and the development would not be 'sustainable'. Whitstable is not the right place to build houses for Canterbury commuters.

Yours,

Lucy Boutwood  
[REDACTED]