

## Alexander Gunyon

---

**From:** Michael COOPER [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 30 May 2024 14:37  
**To:** Consultations  
**Subject:** Objection to Planning Application W4y

**Categories:** Blue category

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

### --Email From External Account--

Dear Council,

I wish object to the proposed development of Brooklands farm. as detailed in W4 of the district plan.

I object as the impact on the local area of a development of this size will be detrimental and would lead to the permanent destruction of the rural nature of the valley of the Swalecliffe brook.

Local impact : There has already been ( and continues to be) large scale housing development in the Whitstable area and this has highlighted that public services such as GPs and hospitals appear to be running at capacity already. This is the situation even before the houses that are currently being build in this are lived in .

Traffic : Traffic in and around the W4 development would also be a major issue.2 new slip roads connecting A299 New Thanet way to Chestfield Road would result in a vast increase in traffic in South Street, Chestfield Road and the Radfall Hill route between Canterbury and Whitstable/ Chestfield. Adding more traffic and indeed building more roads ( to feed into this area) will only exacerbate the existing congestion problems. Simply building more roads does not reduce the volume of traffic. Where are the councils 'green initiatives' on this one ?

Wildlife and Biodiversity : The lowland meadows are used for rearing cattle, these meadows are havens for wildlife . The meadows are rich in insect life . Buzzards and skylarks are often seen in the area. The developers have previously conceded that the site lies in an area of high landscape value and the proposed development would have a significant effect on the local area.

Food and Farming : The proposed W4 development will mean the loss of valuable agricultural land which is used for growing wheat and barley . The land that is elevated and relatively well drained, therefore likely to be counted as "best and most versatile " agricultural land - which the Government advises should not be used for development. We need to protect this land for us and future generations to ensure agriculture in this area is maintained. Once it has gone, it is gone for good.

Water : It is well known that Whitstable and the surrounding area is a water stressed district. With our current population problems arise with water supply, necessitating hosepipe bans to ration water. Waste water, pollution and sewage problems are also all too common. Swalecliffe sewage works is already unable to cope with the existing demand and results in raw sewage being pumped into the sea. This is unacceptable, especially in a town and area that depends on tourism during the

summer months.. This is in an area famous, with seafood tradition, and a tourist destination. Large scale development such as proposed at Brooklands would only escalate the problem.

Surface flooding is also an issue for the W4 site as there is London clay in the valley of the Swalecliffe brook... which already has a tendency to flood. Adding large areas of hard standing would substantially increase the risk of flooding in the area. So actually, building here would contradict the environmental strategy for the district which states that proposals that increase the risk of flooding will be refused.

I therefore strongly object to the of the Policy W4 of the City Councils draft plan.

Thank you.

From Mr Michael Cooper, 

Sent from my iPad

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows