

## Alexander Gunyon

---

**From:** John Butterworth [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 03 June 2024 11:47  
**To:** Consultations  
**Subject:** Fwd: Brooklands Farm development

**Categories:** Blue category

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

### --Email From External Account--

I Strongly OBJECT to this proposed green field Development at Brooklands Farm on South Street.

I really do not understand this proposal on several grounds.

Another Large development in this area. Surely research has shown how bad the traffic and sewage issues are in this area already. The Brooklands Farm and The Blean developments would bring this area of Canterbury to a Virtual stand still. The creation of huge amounts more of sewage and potential for flooding are inevitable. It is a nightmare to contemplate. Travel into Canterbury from Whitstable on any work day is a nightmare already! And as well as the Volume of traffic already backing up on any given day, queuing to get in and out of Canterbury is causing both frustration and anger for road users!

How can another 3,400 New homes and the subsequent building of these homes really benefit this already overpopulated area?

On virtually any Given day the traffic and consequent pollution is already dangerous around our local community road network. The Scale of these developments are totally insensitive to the communities that have been established in Blean, Tyler Hill and Whitstable and Chestfield. Why the Council considers either the Brooklands or The Blean developments to be necessary is beyond comprehension. There are any number of large developments already ongoing in the areas of Whitstable and Herne bay which are still being added too?

Apart from the inadequate road networks you will be destroying ancient farmland and Woodland areas where currently there is a natural diversity of Wildlife and Countryside. When will you see the short sightedness of turning yet more greenfield and ancient woodlands into more urban sprawl. It would be quite deplorable purely on environmental grounds and never mind about destroying the balance of residential and Countryside areas. Quality of life is already threatened by all the current developments, blocked roads and sewage issues and now you are wanting to add to the stress and distress on the local communities and infrastructure.

There appears to be no 'Common Sense', sensitivity to environment and communities or Long term strategy in either the Brooklands Farm or the Blean Proposed Developments. It begs the Extremely IMPORTANT questions about the Management of Canterbury City Council's development & environment Policies going forward. I just hope that you pay attention to what your Residents are saying about these proposals!

The Cost to Communities which are already under great stress from Water and sewage management are again being undermined by current developments. South Easy Water cannot cope as it is with the Sewage issues and water qualities in the Sea and in the pipework. These plans would be of taking more and more Green field, arable and farmland sights to be concreted over. With apparently little consideration for either Environmental issues or the quality of Life of current communities.

Questions of Mental Stress as well as physical reduction of Communal space and green field space does not seem to be a factor in these proposals. Neither do Impacts on Wildlife habitats, flooding or Community pressures. Waste management, Vehicle management and environmental management generally.

It also begs the question where do these planners and developers live? It must be a 'virtual world' because it is certainly 'Not the Real World' that I and many other residents live in?

You really need to STOP this proposal and The Blean likewise before you have a peoples and environmental catastrophe on your hands.

It is an absolute disaster that these proposals are thought viable and they should be scrapped.

I would like a personal reply and acknowledgment of my letter please.

This is a VERY URGENT MATTER and simply cannot be Ignored.

John Butterworth