



CCC Consultations <consultations@canterbury.gov.uk>

Fwd: Draft Local Plan to 2045 - Consultation Response

1 message

CCC Policy <policy@canterbury.gov.uk>
To: CCC Consultations <consultations@canterbury.gov.uk>

10 January 2023 at 08:57

Another one to be recorded please

Many thanks,

Ella
The Policy Team
Canterbury City Council

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **CCC Planning** <planning@canterbury.gov.uk>
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2023 at 16:23
Subject: Fwd: Draft Local Plan to 2045 - Consultation Response
To: CCC Policy <policy@canterbury.gov.uk>

Regards,

Amy
Planning Team
Canterbury City Council
01227 862178



Please reply to planning@canterbury.gov.uk for your email to be acknowledged.
Please give us your views through our [customer satisfaction survey](#).

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **hjbblows** [REDACTED]
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2023 at 16:18
Subject: Draft Local Plan to 2045 - Consultation Response
To: <planning@canterbury.gov.uk>

Draft Local Plan to 2045 - Consultation Response**TRANSPORT**

I strongly oppose construction of the proposed eastern and northern bypass roads and the city zoning proposal.

The construction of a by-pass and the zoning of the city would be unsustainable, destructive and counter-productive. There is no evidence that such a scheme would be effective or appropriate, and is indeed expected to lead to increased vehicle mileage. The congestion and air pollution caused by motor transport on the city's roads should be confronted instead by a variety of rapid and readily-targeted measures which could include strong promotion of public transport, promotion of facilities for safe walking and cycling including 'walking buses' to schools, congestion charging and emissions charging to discourage short cross-city car journeys, and promotion of use of the existing East Kent orbital roads (A256, A299) to discourage through traffic on the A28. Policies C16 and C26 should be withdrawn.

HOUSING

I strongly oppose the Plan allocation of more houses than the 806 per annum recommended in the Housing Needs Assessment.

The proposed number of houses (in excess of 50 % more than recommended) would unnecessarily exacerbate the damage to the character of the city and the inevitably negative impact on scarce health and welfare resources, water and sewerage infrastructure, road congestion, and climate change parameters.

OLD PARK AND CHEQUERS WOOD

I strongly advocate the protection of the Old Park and Chequers Wood against built development.

The existing SSSI designation should be safeguarded, including the imposition of adjoining buffer zones and connectivity with adjacent habitats. The SSSI designation should be extended to the adjoining areas if appropriate. Notwithstanding this the whole Old Park and Chequers Wood area, including the golf driving range, should be designated such as to prevent built development. Policy C15 should be withdrawn.

Harry Blows

