



Ring Road through Rough Common. (RCR)

1 message

Roy Casement [REDACTED]

14 January 2023 at 13:47

To: consultations@canterbury.gov.uk
[REDACTED]

As a long term resident of Rough Common [REDACTED] I feel obliged to strongly object to the ludicrous Council proposal to turn Rough Common Road (RCR)

into a Ring Road/Bypass for Canterbury.

My objections are as follows:-

- Rough Common Road, currently is overloaded with traffic at certain times of the day. There is no possibility that it would be alleviated without serious problems to the current residents.

-With the planned and potential increase in housing, there will also be a serious increase in RCR traffic volumes which will only make the problems worse.

-There are approximately 17+ access/side roads all the way from Palmer's Cross Hill to the Whitstable Road.

All of these are continuously used by vehicles and pedestrians on a 24 hour basis. It would be impossible to place more traffic onto RCR without it making a serious impact

on the current residents or the throughput of traffic.

- There is a history of a young child being killed, a few years ago, when attempting to cross RCR in the morning rush hour and school opening time.

- Once again the proposal is a short term, blinkered effort to solve an existing and future problem without spending a large amount of money.

The only solution would be to implement the proposed EASTERN BYPASS (Sturry to A2). Please note that this is on green field land and NOT ON EXISTING ROADS.

Then build a brand new road (WESTERN BYPASS from the A2 at Harbledown, through the woods at the back of RCR and Moat farm playing fields, across the back of the University and down to the EASTERN BYPASS at Sturry.

The only downside of this proposal is that it would provide the Council with the excuse/ability to implement the ZONE idea for Canterbury.

The ZONE idea is the most appalling piece of regulation that the Council could possibly propose.

It is just another feeble way of obtaining more money from already hard pressed residents and placing unnecessary movement restrictions on individuals.

Yours sincerely.....Roy Casement.