

## Re: Draft Canterbury district local plan 2020 to 2045

We object to the proposed plans for the zoning of Canterbury on the grounds that:-

1. Residents will be forced to spend more time and money getting from one zone to the other via ring roads. People will forget about the zones - is this a devious method for the future Council accumulating funds?
2. Instead of a direct route from our home to Sainsbury's via the University and St Stephen's, our journey would be via Rough Common Road, the ring road via the Tannery, all the way round to Sturry Road, even longer if we have to use the proposed Eastern bypass. More than triple the shortest route, with three times the pollution.
3. There will be an increase in pollution in the ring road areas to the detriment of local residents.

We also object to the proposal to upgrade Rough Common Road to a "Ring Road", on the following grounds:-

1. Widening this road would require removing grass verges, trees, (essential for reducing pollution), and part of our village green, not to mention losing part of the woods on the North side.
2. How many houses will have to be demolished to facilitate the required width of the upgraded road? Currently at the narrowest part of the road, front doors open on to the pavement.

In conclusion, the work involved in implementing these proposals would be massive, given that it will require:-

New Eastern bypass.

New bypass from Whitstable Road, via the University and onwards to Sturry.

Upgrading other roads to ring roads.

More car parks

More park and ride sites

ANPR cameras at every road crossing between zones and in both directions, not to mention ongoing costs to run the scheme

So billions of cash required for this hair-brained scheme; how will this be financed?

