



CCC Consultations <consultations@canterbury.gov.uk>

Draft Local Plan - Canterbury City Council

1 message

Emma Richardson [REDACTED]

14 January 2023 at 17:54

To: "consultations@canterbury.gov.uk" <consultations@canterbury.gov.uk>

Dear sirs,

It is extremely difficult to provide an accurate analysis of the draft local plan submitted for consultation by Canterbury City Council as there appears to be nothing concrete upon which to comment other than inconvenience to the local population & ruination of our beautiful city.

The proposed "Upgrade" of Rough Common Road beggars belief. This is village with children walking to school, elderly people trying to live. It is a linear village therefore the majority of houses are along the main road. How the City Council can possibly see widening or altering this road with out immense disruption is impossible to understand. The other questions not answered are - Why? Where will it go? No benefit at all.

The zoning system is just dystopian. There are roads with differing zones at the beginning & end! This idea was apparently taken from Ghent, a City 5 times the size of Canterbury with a functioning Tram system, decent bus service & park & ride facility without the topography of Canterbury. Canterbury enjoys none of these benefits.

The proposed increase in housing is approximately 1,252/year for 20 years. Far in excess of that required. This will urbanise our district, create increased traffic, remove prime agricultural land, alter rural villages & result in huge pressure on already struggling sewer systems. Think of the Stodmarsh Reservoir issues we have now. This will just get worse.

The only reason they are proposing such a huge new build figure is to secure the Developer funds which they require to even consider building their preposterous road system.

Please ensure the plan is taken away & considered a fresh with the Beautiful city of Canterbury & the requirements of its inhabitants at its heart. Maybe if the people compiling this document lived in the City they would have a better feel for its needs.

Kind regards

Emma Richardson
[REDACTED]