



CCC Consultations &lt;consultations@canterbury.gov.uk&gt;

## Canterbury plan - my response Mary Golding

1 message

Mary Golding [REDACTED]  
To: consultations@canterbury.gov.uk

15 January 2023 at 22:09

Mary Golding,  
[REDACTED]

Movement and transportation strategy for the district.  
I wish to register my strong disagreement to the proposal for sectoring zoned areas.

It is hard to follow all the threads on the snap survey but I do want to register my strong disagreement to the plans concerning the proposed zoning of [Canterbury](#) so I am using this consultation facility. As a long-standing Canterbury resident I am horrified at the thought that I will be fined if I drive to my local supermarket, my doctors or to visit many of my friends and family. Particularly as I get older, there is a necessity to drive and be driven. I used to cycle and walk. I cannot believe that, in what is supposed to be a free country, the day to day freedoms I currently have will be brushed away and I will be fined for simple routine journeys I need to make for all sorts of reasons. From what I understand, a journey which I made today to my nieces' for lunch would be one I would be fined for. When I do drive I am fortunate to be able to work around the busy times in Canterbury and as it is I plan my journeys for times it is likely to be less busy. But it is unbelievable that it is proposed that even such common sense will be met with an ANPR fine. To me the proposal is ghastly and makes me feel very uncomfortable.

It is ok for those people who live outside of Canterbury as they will enter at the most relevant place but those who are resident will be penalised. People who live outside Canterbury will be able to get on with their lives normally while the residents will be punished and that's what it feel like. In my mind it is wrong that councillors who live in the surrounding areas should be able to have a say in such a scheme. It won't affect them in the same way. As far as I am concerned, as a Canterbury resident, the proposed system is ludicrous and also very frightening - frightening that it proposes such restrictions on our day to day life and accepted freedoms. If this goes ahead, I will seriously consider whether Canterbury should be my home. It is my belief that running such a scheme, Canterbury will become a place not to live or be in. I am not sure of the time scale for this proposal, but should it go ahead, I hope it won't be in my life time. It will be a very sad day where residents will feel prisoners in their own homes.

The outer ring system planned cuts through farmland and other valuable environments and would itself seem to increase journeys and carbon emissions. I strongly disagree with it as a proposal.

### Development Strategy

While the zonal sectoring system is my major concern, the number of new houses proposed for building is also a concern. The number should be guided by an estimate of need. Areas such as proposed building at Brooklands Farm should not be considered as it is good farmland and green space. It's something we will never get back.

### Housing in multiple occupation

I agree with the regulations for Houses of Multiple Occupancy. Sadly in too many places this is possibly 'after the horse has bolted' in some areas already where the number far exceeds the proposed 10%.

I hope the council will consider all these views when making decisions re the final plans.

Thank you  
Mary Golding

1/16/23, 7:18 AM

EKS Partnership Mail - Canterbury plan - my response Mary Golding

Sent from my iPad