



CCC Consultations <consultations@canterbury.gov.uk>

Fwd: JTB

2 messages

Leo Whitlock [REDACTED]
To: CCC Consultations <consultations@canterbury.gov.uk>

17 January 2023 at 21:20

Could you add this to the reps please?
Are we having a section on councillor reps, a separate section on parishes (I think we ought to) etc?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Louise Harvey-Quirke** [REDACTED]
Date: Tue, 17 Jan 2023 at 21:14
Subject: Re: JTB
To: Leo Whitlock [REDACTED]

Leo, you're a legend. Here goes:

One of the officers mentioned short-term benefits. I believe I covered that with the suggestion of lengthening the platforms in Sturry. I would like some serious investigation done on this, please, as I cannot for the life of me understand how this has not been looked at before. The wait time at the level crossing would be reduced significantly.

Mark Dance mentioned buses and how they have not been modelled. He also made the suggestion of using other companies to cover the rural areas. This has been done in Broad Oak and Hoath however the companies would not take bus passes and charged £5 per journey,

There was a reference to the Sturry Park & Ride; It's been noted that land has been designated in the Draft Local Plan for the Sturry P&R. Can we be assured that the facility will be reopening and when will this be - following completion of the Link Road or before?

In terms of bus lanes, (I think) Richard commented that they are 'critical to what they do'. With that being said, can KCC explain why only one bus lane will be installed on the Sturry Link Road, making it not fit for purpose or future proofed. Can we expect the same with the Eastern Bypass?

Mark Dance made reference to bus lanes on Sturry Road. Suggestion; as Sturry and Broad Oak Roads are a ring road in themselves, why not make them one way, with Sturry Road going into Canterbury and Broad Oak Road coming out of Canterbury, and put a bus lane on each.

Finally, in response to Peter's point about housing numbers; the sites that have been previously refused at Planning or rejected from the previous Local Plan, such as The Paddocks in Broad Oak or Popes Lane in Sturry for example, have been entered in this Draft Local Plan for consideration. How can that be, when they have been refused already. And in the case of The Paddocks, with the one of reasons for refusal being that the view to Bell Harry Tower would be blocked.

Kind Regards

Louise Harvey-Quirke

The Sheriff of Canterbury
Sturry Ward Councillor
Canterbury City Council
Military Road
Canterbury
CT1 1YW

On Tue, 17 Jan 2023 at 20:53, Leo Whitlock [REDACTED] wrote:

Louise

Feel free to send them to me and we can include them as a separate LP representation/submission.

Cheers
Leo

On Tue, 17 Jan 2023 at 20:50, Louise Harvey-Quirke [REDACTED] wrote:
Hi Dan,

I appreciate that there were lots of speakers this evening however, some of the points I raised were not answered and I also have alternatives to suggest. If I put my comments/concerns in writing to you now, will they be added to the JTB comments?

Kind Regards

Louise Harvey-Quirke

The Sheriff of Canterbury

Sturry Ward Councillor

Canterbury City Council

Military Road

Canterbury

CT1 1YW

CCC Consultations <consultations@canterbury.gov.uk>

18 January 2023 at 10:04

To: Leo Whitlock [REDACTED]

Morning Leo,

I've made a spreadsheet with all the statutory consultees to keep track of who we've heard from and who we're waiting on. So far this includes the big ones (National Rail, South East Water etc), parish councils, district councils, and developers. Will forward this via personal email.

If you think adding a separate tab for Cllr's would be useful then I'll get on that.

Thanks,

Victoria Asimaki

Principal Policy Officer (Engagement)

Canterbury City Council

[Quoted text hidden]