

## Appendix N: Definitions of significance

SA Objective	Sub Objective	Potential Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
<b>1. To reduce air pollution and encourage improvements in air quality</b>	1.1 Minimise poor air quality and encourage improvements 1.2 Minimise and mitigate adverse effects of poor air quality 1.3 Support the achievement of air quality improvement objectives within the designated AQMAs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Concentration of NO2</li> <li>Concentration of PM10/PM2.5</li> <li>Assessment of AQMAs</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would significantly improve air quality and result in air quality targets being met/exceeded and the Canterbury and/or Herne Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) being removed (or the area under the AQMA being reduced).
			+	Positive	The policy/proposal would improve air quality.
			0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
			-	Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to a decrease in air quality. The policy/proposal would result in new development being located within 500 m of the Canterbury or Herne AQMA.
			--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to a decrease in air quality and would result in the area of the Canterbury and/or Herne AQMA having to be extended or new AQMAs being declared. The policy/proposal would result in new development being located within the Canterbury or Herne AQMA.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
			~	No Relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
<b>2. To minimise greenhouse gases that cause climate change and deliver a managed response to its effects</b>	2.1 Minimise greenhouse gas emissions 2.2 Deliver high standards of energy efficiency in new development 2.3 Support the use of renewable energies 2.4 Support increased resilience to climate change 3.5 Support species adaptation and migration to	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fuel consumption</li> <li>Development meeting high levels of energy efficiency (e.g. BREEAM excellent rating)</li> <li>Planning applications for renewable energies</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would significantly reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the District (emissions from the district were 578ktCO2e in 2018). The policy/proposal would significantly reduce energy consumption or increase the amount of renewable energy being used/generated (total energy use in the district was 2,720 GWh in 2017).
			+	Positive	The policy/proposal would reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the District. The policy/proposal would increase resilience/decrease vulnerability to climate change effects. The policy/proposal would reduce energy consumption or increase the amount of renewable energy being used/generated.
					The policy/proposal would support/encourage sustainable design.
			0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.

SA Objective	Sub Objective	Potential Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
	reduce impacts of climate change and ensure resilience 3.6 Encourage carbon sequestration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Renewable Energy sites (installed capacity)</li> <li>Number of new builds with energy generation capacity</li> </ul>	-	Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to an increase in greenhouse gas emissions from the District. The policy/proposal would not increase resilience/decrease vulnerability to climate change effects.
			--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to a significant increase in greenhouse gas emissions from the District. The policy/proposal would increase vulnerability to climate change effects.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
			~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
<b>3. To conserve, connect and enhance biodiversity across the District</b>	3.1 Support the achievement of biodiversity net gain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Change in amount of biodiversity (monitoring requirements may be affected by any new net gain policies by government so this indicator is likely to change)</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would have a positive effect on European or national designated sites, habitats or species (e.g. enhancing habitats, creating additional habitat or increasing protected species populations). The policy/proposal would create new habitat and link it with existing habitats or significantly improve existing habitats to support local biodiversity. The policy/proposal would significantly enhance Canterbury's green infrastructure network. The policy/proposal would deliver an increase in biodiversity net gain of > 10%.
	3.2 Conserve, protect and enhance protected sites in accordance with the protection hierarchy (i.e. international, national or locally designated)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Changes in the areas and composition of protected sites</li> <li>Percentage of SSSI sites in favourable condition</li> <li>Changes in green or blue infrastructure</li> <li>Achievement of Kent targets, such as</li> </ul>	+	Positive
	3.3 Support improvements to biodiversity in non-designated areas of the District	0		Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
	3.4 Support improvements to ecological networks including connectivity of habitats	-		Negative	The policy/proposal would have negative effects on sub-regional or local designated sites, habitats or species (e.g. short-term loss of habitats, loss of species and temporary effects on the functioning of ecosystems). The policy/proposal would lead to short-term disturbance of existing habitat but would not have long-term effects on local biodiversity. The policy/proposal would adversely affect Canterbury's green infrastructure network. The policy/proposal would deliver a biodiversity net loss.
	3.5 Support species adaptation and migration to reduce impacts of climate change and ensure resilience				

SA Objective	Sub Objective	Potential Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
	3.6 Encourage carbon sequestration	within any Biodiversity Strategies	--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would have negative effects on European or national designated sites, habitats and/or protected species (i.e. on the interest features and integrity of the site, by preventing any of the conservation objectives from being achieved or resulting in a long term decrease in the population of a priority species). These effects could not be reasonably mitigated. The policy/proposal would result in significant, long term negative effects on non-designated sites (e.g. through significant loss of habitat leading to a long-term loss of ecosystem structure and function). The policy/proposal would have a significant adverse effect on Canterbury's green infrastructure network. The policy/proposal would deliver a biodiversity net loss of > 10%.
		• Number of planning applications which incorporate habitat creation/restoration	?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
		• Amount of ancient woodland in the district	~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
<b>4. To conserve geological sites and safeguard mineral resources within the District</b>	4.1 Aim to protect and prevent damage to geologically important sites, such as RIGS	• Planning applications which lead to a net loss or substantial damage to geologically important sites.	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would have major positive effects on protected geologically important sites.
			+	Positive	The policy/proposal would have positive effects on protected geologically important sites.
	4.2 Balance the need for development with safeguarding mineral resources and infrastructure	• Any changes to mineral resources or infrastructure	0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
			-	Negative	The policy/proposal would have minor negative effects on protected geologically important sites.
			--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would have significant negative effects on protected geologically important sites.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.			
<b>5. To conserve and enhance the landscapes of the District for people and wildlife</b>	5.1 Conserve, protect and enhance protected sites in accordance with the protection hierarchy (ie.international, national or locally designated)	• Changes in the areas and composition of protected sites	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would offer potential to significantly enhance landscape/townscape character. The policy/proposal would offer potential to significantly enhance the qualities of the Kent Downs AONB
			+	Positive	The policy/proposal would offer potential to enhance landscape/townscape character.
			0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.



SA Objective	Sub Objective	Potential Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
	5.2 Support improvements to existing non-designated landscapes		-	Negative	The policy/proposal would have an adverse effect on landscape/townscape character.
			--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would have a significant adverse effect on landscape/townscape character. The policy/proposal would have a significant adverse impact on the qualities of the Kent Downs AONB
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
			~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
<b>6. To protect water resources and ensure a high quality of inland and coastal waters</b>	6.1 Protect and enhance ground and surface water quality 6.2 Avoid adverse impacts on coastal waters, fisheries and bathing waters 6.3 Promote the sustainable and efficient use of water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Changes to the Nitrate vulnerable zones or drinking water safeguard zone</li> <li>Changes to water quality/access (South East Marine Plan is likely to identify elements to be monitored for itself which could possibly be utilised for this monitoring)</li> <li>Number of planning applications with Sustainable Drainage Systems</li> <li>Per capita consumption of water</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would significantly aid in reducing water consumption (average per capita water consumption is 131 l/hd/d in Southern Water supplied areas). The policy/proposal would significantly enhance water quality (by reducing wastewater, surface water runoff and pollutant discharge so that the quality can be improved) and enable all WFD targets to be met. The policy/proposal would support investment in water resources infrastructure.
			+	Positive	The policy/proposal encourages the use of SUDs. The policy/proposal would aid in reducing water consumption. The policy/proposal would enhance water quality.
			0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
			-	Negative	The policy/proposal would lead to an increase in water consumption. The policy/proposal would decrease water quality by for example, increasing the amount of wastewater, surface water runoff and pollutant discharge.
			--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would significantly increase water consumption. The policy/proposal would significantly negatively affect water quality. The policy/proposal would lead to deterioration of the current WFD classification. The policy/proposal would result in the capacity of existing wastewater management infrastructure being exceeded without appropriate mitigation.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
			~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
			<b>7. To reduce the risk of</b>		



SA Objective	Sub Objective	Potential Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
<b>flooding and where appropriate prevent coastal erosion</b>	7.1 Avoid inappropriate development in areas at risk from flooding and coastal erosion 7.2 Support priorities identified within the Isle of Grain to South Foreland Shoreline Management Plan (or subsequent updates or amendments)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of developments within functional flood plain</li> <li>Number of planning applications with Sustainable Drainage Systems</li> <li>Any disparities from the priorities</li> </ul>	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would reduce flood risk to new or existing infrastructure or communities (currently located 1 in 1000 year floodplain).
			0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective. It is anticipated that the policy will neither cause nor exacerbate flooding in the catchment.
			-	Negative	The policy/proposal would result in an increased flood risk within the 1 to 1000 year floodplain. The policy/proposal would result in development being located within Flood Zone 2.
			--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would result in an increased flood risk within the 1 to 100 year floodplain. The policy/proposal would result in development being located within Flood Zone 3.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
			~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
<b>8. To promote sustainable waste management</b>	8.1 Encourage a reduction in the amount of waste generated 8.2 Ensure the management of waste is consistent with the waste management hierarchy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Council waste tonnage</li> <li>Council waste end destination</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would reduce the amount of waste generated through prevention, minimisation and re-use (the district generated 0.36t per capita of household waste in 2017 and achieved a recycling rate of 43.5%). The policy/proposal would significantly reduce the amount of waste going to landfill through recycling and energy recovery (0.1% of household was disposed of in landfill in 2017; however, Kent totals of Construction Demolition & Excavation Waste (CD&E) being disposed to landfill as inert waste are some 6 million tonnes per annum). The policy/proposal would support/encourage investment in waste management facilities.
			+	Positive	The policy/proposal would reduce the amount of waste going to landfill through recycling and energy recovery. The policy/proposal would encourage the use of sustainable materials.
			0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
			-	Negative	The policy/proposal would result in an increased amount of waste going to landfill. The policy/proposal would increase the demand for local resources.
			--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would result in a significantly increased amount of waste going to landfill. The policy/proposal would significantly increase the demand for local resources.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.



SA Objective	Sub Objective	Potential Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
			~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
<b>9. To preserve, enhance, promote and capitalise on the significant qualities, fabric, setting and accessibility of the District's historic environment.</b>	9.1 Preserve and enhance designated heritage assets including their setting and contribution to local character and distinctiveness.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National Heritage at Risk register</li> <li>Local Heritage at Risk register</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	<p>The policy would protect and enhance the World Heritage Site.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would protect and enhance the sites, areas and features of historic, cultural, archaeological and architectural interest with national designations (including their setting).</p> <p>The policy/proposal will make use of historic buildings, spaces and places through sensitive adaption and re-use allowing these distinctive assets to be accessed.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would result in assets being removed from the At Risk Register.</p>
			+	Positive	<p>The policy/proposal would protect and enhance the sites, areas and features of historic, cultural, archaeological and architectural interest with local designations (including their setting).</p> <p>The policy/proposal will increase access to historical/cultural/archaeological/architectural buildings/spaces/places.</p>
	9.2 Support improvements to existing non-designated heritage assets.		0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
	9.3 Aim to promote sustainable access to the historic environment.		-	Negative	<p>The policy/proposal would lead to deterioration of the sites, areas and features of historic, cultural, archaeological and architectural interest with local designations.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would temporarily restrict access to historical/cultural/archaeological/architectural buildings/spaces/places.</p>
	9.4 Aim to capitalise on the potential of heritage assets to deliver sustainable benefits.		--	Significant Negative	<p>The policy would lead to deterioration of the World Heritage Site.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would lead to deterioration of the sites, areas and features of historic, cultural, archaeological and architectural interest with national designation or result in the destruction of heritage assets (national or local).</p> <p>The policy/proposal would permanently restrict access to historical/cultural/archaeological/architectural buildings/spaces/places.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would result in an asset being placed on the At Risk Register.</p>
	9.5 Encourage new developments to contribute to the maintenance and enhancement of the historic character through design, layout and setting.		?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
				~	No relationship
<b>10. To ensure the supply of high quality</b>	10.1 Promote increased access to affordable housing		++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would provide a significant increase to housing supply and would provide access to decent, affordable housing for residents with different needs (e.g. housing sites with capacity for 100 or more units).

SA Objective	Sub Objective	Potential Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
<b>homes, which cater for identified needs</b>	10.2 Support the timely delivery of market and affordable housing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Affordable housing granted permission and completed</li> </ul>	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would provide an increase to housing supply and would provide access to decent, affordable housing for residents with different needs (e.g. housing sites of between 1 and 99 units).
	10.3 Support the provision of homes which cater for existing and future residents' needs and the needs of different groups within the community by promoting a mix of new residential development, including, but not limited to, student, care home, gypsy & travellers and self build	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Housing delivery test</li> <li>Annual completions</li> <li>Housing Land Supply and Housing Delivery Test</li> </ul>	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would make use of/improve existing buildings or unfit, empty homes. The policy/proposal would promote high quality design. The policy/proposal would deliver suitable pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and Showpeople.
	10.4 Promote an appropriate mix of dwelling types, sizes and tenures	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of people on the self-build register</li> </ul>	0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
	10.5 Promote the reduction in the amount of homelessness within the district	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Housing mix permissions and completions</li> </ul>	-	Negative	The policy/proposal would reduce the amount of affordable, decent housing available (e.g. a net loss of between 1 and 99 dwellings).
	10.6 Promote high quality design in new housing developments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amount of homelessness within the District</li> </ul>	--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would significantly reduce the amount of affordable, decent housing available.(e.g. a net loss of 100+ dwellings).
				?	Uncertain
			~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.
<b>11. To promote the sustainable use of land and conserve soil quality</b>	11.1 Encourage the efficient use of previously developed land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Completions on brownfield or greenfield</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would encourage significant (≥1 ha) development on previously developed land (PDL). The policy/proposal would result in existing land / soil contamination being removed. The policy/proposal would protect best and most versatile agricultural land.
	11.2 Avoid the unnecessary loss of best and most versatile agricultural land	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Number of sites on the Brownfield Register</li> </ul>	+	Positive	The policy/proposal would encourage development on PDL. (sites <1ha)
	11.3 Encourage appropriate building densities within developments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Loss of Grade 1 or 2 agricultural land</li> </ul>	0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
	11.4 Support the reduction in land contamination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Density of large development sites</li> </ul>	-	Negative	The policy/proposal would result in development on greenfield or would create conflicts in land-use. The policy/proposal would result in the loss of agricultural land.
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any additions to the Contaminated Land Special Sites</li> </ul>	--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would result in the loss of best and most versatile agricultural land. The policy/proposal would result in land contamination.
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.

SA Objective	Sub Objective	Potential Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance	
			~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.	
<b>12. To achieve a strong and sustainable economy, and revitalise town, local and rural centres</b>	12.1 Support the provision of jobs in the right places to meet the identified employment needs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Job density</li> <li>Jobs by industry/ occupation</li> <li>Economic activity</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	<p>The policy/proposal would significantly encourage investment in businesses, people and infrastructure (e.g. it would deliver over 1 ha of employment land).</p> <p>The policy/proposal would result in the creation of new educational institutions.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would significantly improve the vitality of town centres and/or make them more attractive to potential tourists.</p>	
	12.2 Encourage investment in businesses, people and infrastructure to improve productivity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Employment and retail net completions</li> <li>Employment land supply table</li> </ul>	+	Positive	<p>The policy/proposal would encourage investment in businesses, people and infrastructure (e.g. delivering between 0.1 and 0.99 ha of employment land).</p> <p>The policy/proposal would provide accessible employment opportunities.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would support diversification of the rural economy.</p>	
	12.3 Support the vitality and viability of town and city centres	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Substantial changes to marine/coastal economy (South East Marine Plan is likely to identify elements to be monitored for itself which could possibly be utilised for this monitoring)</li> </ul>	0	Neutral	<p>The policy/proposal would deliver residential development in close proximity to a major employment site (i.e. within 2,000m walking distance or 30mins travel time by public transport).</p> <p>The policy/proposal would support existing educational institutions.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would support economic growth in the low carbon sector.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would improve the vitality of town centres and/or make them more attractive to potential tourists.</p>	
	12.4 Promote sustainable tourism		-	Negative	<p>The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would have negative effects on businesses, the local economy and local employment (e.g. it would result in the loss of between 0.1 and 0.99 ha of employment land).</p> <p>The policy/proposal would reduce the vitality of town centres and/or make them less attractive to potential tourists.</p>	
	12.5 Support a safe and attractive night economy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Employment and retail net completions</li> </ul>	--	Significant Negative	<p>The policy/proposal would have significant negative effects on business, the local economy and local employment (e.g. policy/proposal would lead to the closure or relocation of existing significant local businesses, loss of employment land of 1 ha or more, or would affect key sectors).</p> <p>The policy/proposal would result in the loss of existing educational establishments without suitable replacement provision elsewhere within the District.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would significantly reduce the vitality of town centres and/or make them significantly less attractive to potential tourists.</p>	
	12.6 Support a sustainable marine and coastal economy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Employment land supply table</li> </ul>	?	Uncertain	<p>The policy/proposal would support existing educational institutions.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would support economic growth in the low carbon sector.</p> <p>The policy/proposal would improve the vitality of town centres and/or make them more attractive to potential tourists.</p>	
	12.7 Support a sustainable rural economy					
				~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.





SA Objective	Sub Objective	Potential Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
<b>13. To promote and encourage sustainable transport</b>	13.1 Promote consistency with the sustainable transport hierarchy and improvements to support increased use of sustainable transport methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Cycle route counts</li> <li>Train passenger entries and exits</li> <li>Modes of Transport used</li> <li>Park and ride use figures</li> <li>Traffic flow on the 6 key routes into the city</li> <li>Number of electric car charging point</li> <li>Works and completion of key infrastructure (ie relief roads)</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would significantly improve existing transport infrastructure and/or create new, high quality and sustainable infrastructure (i.e. cycle routes, bus routes etc). The policy/proposal would significantly reduce the need for travel. The policy/proposal would create opportunities/incentives for the use of sustainable travel/transport of people/goods.
			+	Positive	The policy/proposal would reduce need for travel. The policy/proposal would encourage the use of sustainable travel/transport of people/goods.
	0		Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.	
	-		Negative	The policy/proposal would negatively affect existing transport infrastructure and/or encourage the use of the car. The policy/proposal would increase the need to travel by less sustainable forms of transport, increasing road traffic and congestion.	
	--		Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would significantly increase the need for travel by less sustainable forms of transport, substantially increasing road traffic and congestion. The policy/proposal would result in the loss of transportation infrastructure and/or services.	
	?		Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.	
	~		No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.	
<b>14. To promote safe, healthy, inclusive and sustainable communities</b>	14.1 Support equal access and improvements to green and blue infrastructure, the countryside and open spaces including parks.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open Space Strategy</li> <li>Green Infrastructure Strategy</li> <li>Provision or improvements to sport facilities</li> <li>Crime rates</li> </ul>	++	Significant Positive	The policy/proposal would have strong and sustained impacts on healthy lifestyles and improve well-being through physical activity, recreational activity, improved environmental quality, etc. Different groups within the society are taken into consideration. The policy/proposal would ensure that new residential development is located in close proximity to a range of healthcare facilities. The policy/proposal would deliver new healthcare facilities and/or open space. The policy/proposal would significantly reduce the level of crime through design and other safety measures.
	14.2 Support equal access and improvements to community and health infrastructure, services and facilities to meet day-to-day needs		+	Positive	The policy/proposal would promote healthy lifestyles and improve well-being through physical activity, recreational activity, improved environmental quality, etc. Different groups within the society are taken into consideration. The policy/proposal would ensure that new residential development is located in close proximity to a healthcare facility. The policy/proposal would reduce crime through design and other safety measures.
	14.3 Support the delivery of connected communities				



SA Objective	Sub Objective	Potential Indicator	Effect	Description	Illustrative Guidance
	which maximise social interaction including high quality public realm to create a sense of place 14.4 Minimise light and noise pollution 14.5 Promote healthy lifestyles including through sport and physical activity 14.6 Support the reduction of actual levels of crime		0	Neutral	The policy/proposal would not have any effect on the achievement of the objective.
			-	Negative	The policy/proposal would encourage unhealthy lifestyles and/or potentially reduce life expectancy. The policy/proposal would reduce the accessibility of services, health services and open space. The policy/proposal would lead to an increase in reported crime and the fear of crime in the district. The policy/proposal would have effects which could cause deterioration of health.
			--	Significant Negative	The policy/proposal would result in the loss of healthcare facilities and open space without their replacement in suitable locations elsewhere within the District. The policy/proposal would lead to a significant increase in reported crime and the fear of crime. The policy/proposal would have significant effects which would cause deterioration of health within the community (i.e. increase in pollution).
			?	Uncertain	The policy/proposal has an uncertain relationship to the objective or the relationship is dependent on the way in which the aspect is managed. In addition, insufficient information may be available to enable an assessment to be made.
			~	No relationship	There is no clear relationship between the policy/proposal and the achievement of the objective or the relationship is negligible.