

Tom Hawkes

From: Elizabeth Bellgard [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 October 2025 18:29
To: Consultations
Subject: Objection to Proposed development golden hill - N21 N24

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

--Email From External Account--

Dear Planning Department/Planning officer

I am writing to object to the proposed development opposite my property at Eversleigh Rise under the planning application as above.

My concerns are based on the following:

1. Traffic and highway safety – The road serving the proposed site already suffers from limited visibility and safety when existing Golden Hill onto the Thanet Way. Additional vehicle movements associated with the development would create significant safety risks for both road users and pedestrians.
2. Drainage and flood risk – Golden hill already has poor drainage and is prone to surface water build-up during periods of heavy rain. Increasing the amount of hardstanding and dwellings on the land would exacerbate flooding issues for surrounding properties.
3. Refuse collection and service access – The road infrastructure is not suitable for large service vehicles. Increased demand for refuse collection and large vehicles would cause obstructions and safety concerns for existing residents.
4. Pressure on water supply and utilities – The local water supply is already under pressure, (still in a hose pipe ban) with low water pressure experienced at peak times. Additional housing and facilities would place further strain on the system without any planned infrastructure upgrades.
5. Suitability of location and precedent – There are currently no other traveller sites located within established residential areas in this part of the area Locating such a site here would set an inappropriate precedent and alter the character of the neighbourhood, which is currently comprised of conventional housing.
6. Affordable housing provision – The area already benefits from significant affordable housing developments, such as Orbit Homes and Hyde Homes. Given

this existing provision, there is not a clear need for additional affordable units at this specific location.

7. Impact on local character and amenities – The scale and density of the proposed development would be out of keeping with the surrounding residential environment and would negatively affect the amenity of neighbouring properties.
8. Unresolved land disputes – There have been long-standing disputes involving Mr Little and Mr Tabony in relation to this land. It is widely assumed that these ongoing issues are a driving factor behind the current planning application. This creates an atmosphere of unfairness for local residents, who continue to be negatively affected by these disputes. For these reasons, I respectfully ask that the council refuses planning permission for this proposal.

Thank you for considering my objection.

Yours faithfully,

Elizabeth bellgard

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]