

**Helen O'Connor**

---

**From:** dympna goldsack [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 13 October 2025 18:50  
**To:** Consultations  
**Subject:** Policy C12

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

**--Email From External Account--**

**Do you have any comments on the proposed deletion of Land North of the University of Kent (policy C12)**

I agree with the deletion of policy C12 from the local plan. I agree with the technical reasons for the change.

My first comment is to point out that the University of Kent was originally funded through a combination of grants from central government's University Grants Committee and support from local patrons and community groups **for the purpose of providing an education establishment**. The Government was the main source of funding.

Therefore, on this basis alone I believe that it is wholly morally wrong for the University to be able to sell off any part of its property whether that is buildings or land.

I agree with all of the technical reasons given for the change of the policy C12 - including the impact on the road network, the destruction of ancient woodland that once gone is gone for ever, and the cost effectiveness of demolishing the very successful Blean Primary School.

Most of the land in question has been and is used for growing food. Too much of our country's land is being used for housing development and the land lost cannot ever be recovered. There will come a time when feeding the nation will see a shortage in basic food stuffs because we will no longer have sufficient land or farmers to carry out this role.

I thank you for listening and hope that the same objections will be applied to any future large scale development proposals for this site.

Kind regards

Mrs Dympna M Goldsack

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]