

--Email From External Account--

Dear CCC,

I am responding to the following question: Do you have any comments on the proposed deletion of Land north of the University of Kent (Policy C12)?

Congratulations to CCC for adopting a socially responsible approach to your decision-making regarding the deletion of Policy C12. I totally agree with all the reasons put forward to explain your decision.

Anyone who lives in the area can surely not be unaware of the existing traffic problems; adding a further 2000 houses would be a disaster.

The University's plans run counter to the compelling need to preserve ancient woodland, together with a variety of open spaces for the recreation and health of all Canterbury residents, and will cause irreparable damage if allowed to proceed. Given that there are more imaginative and worthwhile alternative uses for the land available, it is short-sighted and irresponsible of UKC not to make every effort to find a solution that will create the greatest long-term benefit for all concerned.

Please continue to do all that you can to persuade those with the power to influence actual decisions that there are better ways to provide much-needed social housing without the destruction of valuable green spaces.

Though I am too old now to either benefit from or suffer the consequences of this decision, I thank you for your far-sightedness on behalf of future generations.

Kind regards,

Jacky Jackson-Cox

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]