

From: Patricia Nickle [REDACTED]
Sent: 20 October 2025 23:23
To: Consultations
Subject: Fwd: Canterbury District Local Plan - Rattington Street Feedback

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

--Email From External Account--

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Patricia Nickle [REDACTED]
Date: 20 October 2025 at 23:17:00
To:
Subject: Canterbury District Local Plan - Rattington Street Feedback

Date- 20/10/2025

To whom it may concern.

Please consider the following feedback on the proposed Policy 32 LAND AT RATTINGTON STREET - CHARTHAM:

I am writing to address the proposed building of 170 houses on greenfield agricultural land of Policy N32 Rattington Street.

I live on the outskirts of Chartham and volunteer in Chartham Primary School twice a week and, as a village, I feel that building more houses in Chartham would cause significant problems to the residents and biodiversity of the village.

Chartham Primary school is the only primary school serving the area and is already almost at capacity. The new building would in all probability bring over 50 primary children into the area which would mean there would be over subscription.

The local GP surgeries would also feel the strain on their services.

The local transport in the village is not particularly good especially in the evenings and weekends which would mean an increase in car traffic. The roads in Chartham are generally narrow and the new building would increase the number of cars on these roads. All this would have an adverse effect on residents.

Then there is the fact that the proposed site is Greenfield agricultural land also containing woodland and hedgerow which is home to various protected species like badgers and tawny owls. So building on this site would affect the biodiversity and limit usable

agricultural land.

Many people in Chartham live backing onto and adjacent to this site. The countryside and nature are important in the lives of everyone and reducing the possibility of enjoying its advantages has repercussions on people's lives and also produces disadvantages such as increased pollution and decreased enjoyment of clean.

Conclusion and requested action

I would urge Canterbury City Council to remove this site N32 from the local plan for its the adverse effect it would have on both residents, local wildlife and the damage it would cause to both.

Yours faithfully

Patricia Nickle