

From: Susannah Gooch [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 October 2025 08:46
To: Consultations
Subject: Objection to N20 and N21

--Email From External Account--

Dear Canterbury City Council.

I write to formally object to the proposed development of both N20 (land near Chestfield Road) and N21 (Golden Hill, which includes a proposed traveller site). Whilst I fully respect the need for inclusive and appropriate accommodation for all communities, I have serious concerns about the suitability of this location for these 2 large developments. My objections are based on the following points:

1. **Impact on Local Infrastructure and Services** The area already experiences pressure on essential services such as healthcare, education, and waste management. Whitstable Heights already has experienced sewage flowing down the road due to poor utility infrastructure. An additional site of this nature may exacerbate these issues without clear plans for infrastructure support.
2. **Traffic and Road Safety** The proposed site by the Thanet Way is already prone to congestion and accidents. Increased traffic from larger vehicles and additional residents could pose safety risks to pedestrians and drivers alike.
3. **Environmental and Landscape Concerns** The site lies close to rapidly reducing nature wildlife habitats. Development here will further disrupt local ecosystems and diminish the natural beauty of the area, which is valued by residents and visitors.
4. **Lack of Community Consultation and Transparency** Many residents, including myself, feel that the consultation process has been insufficient. There has been limited opportunity for meaningful engagement or for alternative sites to be considered.
5. **Effect on Property Values and Community Cohesion** There is concern among residents about the potential impact on property values and the character of the neighbourhood. While diversity is welcome, sudden changes without adequate planning or integration strategies can lead to tension and uncertainty.

I urge the planning committee to reconsider this proposal and explore alternative locations that are better suited in terms of infrastructure, accessibility, and community integration.

Thank you for considering my objection.

S Gooch

Sent from [Outlook](#)