

I oppose Policy N-5 Land South of Bekesbourne Lane

Development of this land would ruin needed agricultural land which already has a dependant ecosystem. Two ponds, with newts, badgers, weasals. Very old establish trees with bats, birds of prey, game birds & small mamals. It is also an area where people enjoy nature & walk their dogs in easy walking distance from the Estate & has a public right of way.

The nature corridors marked on the map should actual mean such, ergo remaining untouched to properly act as such, yet the land owner has already made effors to remove these corridors and established trees which should be protected.

In addition the land floods and acts as run off from the Spring that Spring Lane is named after so not ±suitable land for development period, especaily due to climate change flooding projections. All the more so now more and more root base has been removed to act as a soak & hold the land together. 1st all the pear orchard trees gone & now surrounding trees going will make things even worse.

Regards,

Samantha Hamer
[REDACTED]

--Email From External Account--

Apologies, i forgot to add my name and address

Regards,

Samantha Hamer
[REDACTED]

On Tue, 21 Oct 2025, 1:02 pm Leonore Hamer, [REDACTED] wrote:

I oppose policy N-18 the proposed plan for the Community Centre off Sussex Avenue.

Who ever even put this into a proposed plan must have been on the wacky bacci in the First Place.

The Community Centre is relied upon by the local community, I beleive is also community owned.

There is NO other space to relocate the Community Centre and it's needed parking. Building/squeezing in more residential homes would make the area overcrowded & increase the existing problems with parking, traffic & limited local resources.

Other proposed residential sites likely to being built such as the old St. Martins Hospital will also need such resource as the community centre.