

Ashford Local Plan

2030



Adopted **February 2019**



ASHFORD

Policy HOU3a - Residential Windfall Development Within Settlements

Residential development and infilling of a scale that can be satisfactorily integrated into the existing settlement will be acceptable within the built-up confines of the following settlements:

Ashford, Aldington, Appledore, Appledore Heath, Bethersden, Biddenden, Boughton Lees, Brabourne Lees/Smeeth, Brook, Challock, Charing, Charing Heath, Chilham, Egerton, Egerton Forstal, Great Chart, Hamstreet, Hastingleigh, High Halden, Hothfield, Kenardington, Kingsnorth, Little Chart, Mersham, Newenden, Old Wives Lees, Pluckley, Pluckley Thorne, Pluckley Station, Rolvenden, Rolvenden Layne, Ruckinge, Shadoxhurst, Smarden, Stone in Oxney, Tenterden (including St Michaels), Warehorne, Westwell, Wittersham, Woodchurch and Wye.*

**Existing Kingsnorth village*

Providing that the following requirements are met:

- a) It is of a layout, design and appearance that is appropriate to and is compatible with the character and density of the surrounding area;
- b) It would not create a significant adverse impact on the amenity of existing residents;
- c) It would not result in significant harm to or the loss of, public or private land that contributes positively to the local character of the area (including residential gardens);
- d) It would not result in significant harm to the landscape, heritage assets or biodiversity interests;
- e) It is able to be safely accessed from the local road network and the traffic generated can be accommodated on the local and wider road network;
- f) It does not need substantial infrastructure or other facilities to support it, or otherwise proposes measures to improve or upgrade such infrastructure;
- g) It is capable of having safe lighting and pedestrian access provided without a significant impact on neighbours or on the integrity of the street scene; and,
- h) It would not displace an active use such as employment, leisure or community facility, unless meeting the requirements of other policies in this Plan.

Where a proposal is located within, or in the setting of an AONB, it will also need to demonstrate that it is justifiable within the context of their national level of protection and conserves and enhances their natural beauty.

Policy HOU10 will also be applied to relevant garden land applications.

Housing Development Outside Settlements

- 6.54 In addition to residential windfall schemes within settlement confines, new housing outside settlement boundaries may also make a positive contribution to meeting housing needs across the borough. The NPPF is clear in its desire to promote sustainable development in general within the wider context of boosting housing supply, meeting a range of housing needs and using development as a means of improving the quality of a place and / or its setting.
- 6.55 In nearly all cases, isolated or remote sites in the countryside (especially on greenfield sites)

- will not be sustainable in NPPF terms and para. 55 of the NPPF specifically advises against permitting new dwellings in isolated locations unless it meets one of the specified exception criteria.
- 6.56 However, for proposals that adjoin or are close to existing settlements, it is necessary to consider the relative social, economic and environmental advantages and disadvantages of a scheme as these are the 3 dimensions of ‘sustainable development’ described in para. 7 of the NPPF.
 - 6.57 In assessing proposals, the scale of a development will be a major factor to bring into this equation. For larger schemes, the importance of good accessibility to local services and facilities will be of particular importance taking account of the quality and number of such services and the ability to either benefit or be accommodated by such services. The cumulative effects of windfall schemes on local services and facilities, having taken account of the impacts from any allocated sites in the area and any other developments with extant planning permission, will need to be considered, including whether existing services may readily absorb (or benefit from) the additional demand placed on them as a consequence. This should include reference to the availability of primary school places and GP provision at the nearest available facilities alongside the scale and quality of local community facilities. This may also include any supplementary effects on existing residents, for example as a result of reduced school catchment areas.
 - 6.58 Although some reliance on the private car is inevitable in rural locations, the availability of good public transport links, cycling and walking routes can help to reduce that reliance and enable better accessibility to services that may only be available in higher-order rural settlements or Ashford itself. Basic day to day services such as a grocery shop, public house, play / community facilities and a primary school should be within a generally accepted easy walking distance of 800 metres in order to be considered sustainable, although the specific local context may mean a higher or lower distance would be a more appropriate guide.
 - 6.59 The impact of a scheme on the character of a settlement or rural area can be harder to quantify and, in essence, relates to the inherent qualities that help to define what makes a place and gives it an identity. This will vary from settlement to settlement taking account of its history and heritage and how it has grown over many years within its landscape setting. For example, larger-scale modern extensions to small rural villages have not traditionally been the means by which those villages have grown, especially those in locations away from the main local highway or public transport network.
 - 6.60 This policy therefore does not include some of the smaller settlements, which may only be suitable for minor development and infilling in accordance with Policy HOU3a.
 - 6.61 A proposal for residential development must also demonstrate that it (and its associated infrastructure) is well designed and sited in a way that can: sit sympathetically within the wider landscape; enhance its immediate setting; be consistent with any prevailing character and built form, including its scale, bulk and the material used; does not harm neighbouring uses or the amenity of nearby residents.

Isolated Residential Development

- 6.62 The NPPF clearly states that new isolated homes in the countryside should be avoided, unless there are special circumstances. Para. 55 of the NPPF lists a number of exceptions to the general

rule of restraint and these are replicated in the policy below, alongside proposals for replacement dwellings. In considering applications for the re-use of redundant or disused buildings, proposals will need to demonstrate that the existing buildings have been on site for a number of years and are no longer needed for their current or previous use. The building shall have been appropriately maintained and not allowed to fall into disrepair as a prelude to suggesting an enhancement to the setting of the area.

- 6.63 Proposals for exceptional dwellings under the second part of policy HOU5 shall be subject to a rigorous and independent assessment of their design quality. The views of the Ashford Design Panel will need to be sought and, where necessary, proposals amended to ensure their views are reflected. The architecture of a proposal and how that responds to the landscape character and setting of the site will be fundamental in establishing whether the scheme is genuinely exceptional or not.

Policy HOU5 - Residential Windfall Development in the Countryside

Proposals for residential development adjoining or close to the existing built up confines of the following settlements will be acceptable:

Ashford, Aldington, Appledore, Bethersden, Biddenden, Brabourne Lees/Smeeth, Challock, Charing, Chilham, Egerton, Great Chart, Hamstreet, High Halden, Hothfield, Kingsnorth*, Mersham, Pluckley, Rolvenden, Shadoxhurst, Smarden, Tenterden (including St Michaels), Wittersham, Woodchurch and Wye.

**Existing Kingsnorth village*

Providing that each of the following criteria is met:

- a) The scale of development proposed is proportionate to the size of the settlement and the level, type and quality of day to day service provision currently available and commensurate with the ability of those services to absorb the level of development in combination with any planned allocations in this Local Plan and committed development in liaison with service providers;**
- b) The site is within easy walking distance of basic day to day services in the nearest settlement, and/or has access to sustainable methods of transport to access a range of services;**
- c) The development is able to be safely accessed from the local road network and the traffic generated can be accommodated on the local and wider road network without adversely affecting the character of the surrounding area;**
- d) The development is located where it is possible to maximise the use of public transport, cycling and walking to access services;**
- e) The development must conserve and enhance the natural environment and preserve or enhance any heritage assets in the locality; and,**
- f) The development (and any associated infrastructure) is of a high quality design and meets the following requirements:-**
 - i) it sits sympathetically within the wider landscape,**
 - ii) it preserves or enhances the setting of the nearest settlement,**
 - iii) it includes an appropriately sized and designed landscape buffer to the open countryside,**

Continued...

- iv) it is consistent with local character and built form, including scale, bulk and the materials used,
- v) it does not adversely impact on the neighbouring uses or a good standard of amenity for nearby residents,
- vi) it would conserve biodiversity interests on the site and / or adjoining area and not adversely affect the integrity of international and national protected sites in line with Policy ENV1.

Residential development elsewhere in the countryside will only be permitted if the proposal is for at least one of the following:-

- Accommodation to cater for an essential need for a rural worker to live permanently at or near their place of work in the countryside;
- Development would represent the optimal viable use of a heritage asset or would be appropriate enabling development to secure the future of heritage assets;
- It is the re-use of redundant or disused buildings and lead to an enhancement to the immediate setting;
- A dwelling that is of exceptional quality or innovative design* which should be truly outstanding and innovative, reflect the highest standards of architecture, significantly enhance its immediate setting and be sensitive to the defining characteristics of the local area;
- A replacement dwelling, in line with policy HOU7 of this Local Plan;

Where a proposal is located within or in the setting of an AONB, it will also need to demonstrate that it is justifiable within the context of their national level of protection and conserves and enhances their natural beauty.

**These proposals will be required to be referred to the Ashford Design Panel and applications will be expected to respond to the advice provided.*

Policy HOU10 will also be applied to relevant garden land applications.

Self-Build / Custom Build Development

- 6.64 The Council will support the principle of Self and Custom Build development as an opportunity to bring choice to the housing market as well as enabling local people to design and build their own home that will meet their bespoke needs.
- 6.65 The NPPF makes it clear that LPAs should identify and make provision for the housing ‘needs of different groups in the community such as people wishing to build their own homes’. ‘Self-build housing’ is identified by the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations as a dwelling built by (or commissioned by) someone to be occupied by them as their sole or main residence for at least three years. Custom-Build homes encompass self-build but tends to be where individuals work with specialist developers to build their home.
- 6.66 This policy will contribute towards the availability of self and custom build plots enabling local residents to deliver high quality homes, as well as supporting the local economy providing work for builders and associated trades.
- 6.67 The establishment of a Right to Build Register and evidence gained from future SHELAAs and SHMAs has and will continue to help inform the level of need for Self Build. Based on current