



---

**Fwd: FW: Canterbury general enquiry - form reference 531862**

---

**Louise Randall**

2 July 2021 at 15:44

To: Simone Ward [REDACTED], Rhianne Pearson [REDACTED]

Hey,

Another one to be added to the Local Plan consultation responses, please.

Thanks,  
LouisePrincipal Planning Officer (Local Plans and Infrastructure)  
Canterbury City CouncilT: [REDACTED] M: [REDACTED]  
[www.canterbury.gov.uk/planning](http://www.canterbury.gov.uk/planning)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **John Atkins** [REDACTED]

Date: Fri, 2 Jul 2021 at 14:56

Subject: RE: FW: Canterbury general enquiry - form reference 531862

To: Louise Randall [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Hi Louise

Thank you for this. I think my confusion arises from the inclusion of boundary 4 on the plan which appears to include all the land marked pink on the plan down to the Sarre Penn valley. If this is the case then I would wish to register my vigorous objection. If however the land marked pink is not to be included in an LLD but only the part immediately next to Little Hall wood I would not have any objection.

I would like you to accept these comments and I accept your privacy notice

Regards

John Atkins

---

**From:** Louise Randall [REDACTED]**Sent:** 02 July 2021 13:34**To:** [REDACTED]**Subject:** Re: FW: Canterbury general enquiry - form reference 531862

Good afternoon John,

Thank you for your inquiry.

To clarify Option NE4K (preferred option) includes amending the Blean Local Landscape Designation boundary with changes 1 - 4, which are: align it with the landscape (valley floor / A299) to the north of the designation (1), remove a solar farm (2), and include three woods: Buckwell Wood (3), Kemberland Wood and Little Hall Wood (4).

The area to the south of the existing designation between Clowes Woods and the university would not be added to the Local Landscape Designation, meaning the designation boundary would remain along the south of Clowes Wood **not** extended to the Sarre Penn. Although, a landscape criteria for this area could make sure that any development proposals take into account the special characteristics and sensitivities of the area.

If you would like us to accept your comments as a response to the Local Plan Options consultation, please email me back confirming you accept our [privacy notice](#).

Alternatively you may provide comments via our online consultation: <https://localplan.canterbury.gov.uk/>

Kind regards,  
Louise Randall

Principal Planning Officer (Local Plans and Infrastructure)  
Canterbury City Council

T: [REDACTED]  
[www.canterbury.gov.uk/planning](http://www.canterbury.gov.uk/planning)



**From:** [noreply@canterbury.gov.uk](mailto:noreply@canterbury.gov.uk) [mailto:noreply@canterbury.gov.uk]  
**Sent:** 26 June 2021 14:55  
**To:** rostrvmccc  
**Subject:** Canterbury general enquiry - form reference 531862

**Form reference number:** 531862

**Date and time submitted:** 2021-06-26T14:54:44+01:00

## Page: Your message

- Your first name John
- Your last name Atkins
- Your email [REDACTED]
- Your address [REDACTED]
- Your message I am very concerned about the emerging local plans proposal to extend the Blean woods LLD . I feel strongly that it should remain as it is. That is option NE4H I have lived on the Amery Court farmlands since 1952 and my family have owned this land in part since 1937. Whilst it is a perfectly pleasant landscape there is nothing particularly beautiful about it, as for medieval field boundaries I assure you that the field boundaries on this farm have been realigned over the years and there are no medieval boundaries present. It is an intensive fruit farm and we would object to any further designations being put on it which would make our commercial operations more difficult. We are completely confused by NE4K which on the one hand proposes extending the LLD to the Sarre

Penn and on the other taking it back to Clowes wood. Which is it please? Your own assessment of this area in the appendix only gives it a 40% suitability for an LLD and states that it only partly meets the criteria for such a designation. It seems to us that the designation change is not being driven by the landscape but by political considerations.

- Do you want to upload any documents? no
- 

**DISCLAIMER:**

This email and any files transmitted with it may contain privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the person to whom it is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient please destroy or delete the content of this message immediately and notify the sender by reply email. Opinions, conclusions and other information in this message that do not relate to the official business of Canterbury City Council shall be understood as neither given nor endorsed by the Council.

This message has been checked for all known viruses.

Please note that emails sent/received by the council may be monitored

---

This email has been scanned by Netintelligence

<http://www.netintelligence.com/email>

---