


Fwd: Comments on draft local plan consultation

1 message

CCC Planning <planning@canterbury.gov.uk>

6 August 2021 at 16:46

To: Michael Bailey 


Regards,

Planning Team
Canterbury City Council
01227 862178



Please reply to planning@canterbury.gov.uk for your email to be acknowledged.
Please give us your views through our [customer satisfaction survey](#).

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **David** 
Date: Fri, 6 Aug 2021 at 16:29
Subject: Comments on draft local plan consultation
To: <planning@canterbury.gov.uk>

Dear Planning Team

I don't want to complete the online form as I have no comments to make on the braid vision and growth strategy.

However, as a local resident I do wish to comment on the proposed western bypass.

I have reviewed the Transport Modelling report by Jacobs of May 2021 which forms part of the evidence base. It is unclear from this whether the suggested route of the western bypass is shown as indicative or as a firm proposed route. It is included in options 4 and 5 together with an eastern bypass. As I understand it the effect of these routes would be to relieve the inner ring road especially on the northern side but the western bypass would lead to increased traffic on Whitstable Road and Tyler Hill Road.

>

> My questions are:

>

> Has the route of the western bypass been fixed? Has any feasibility study been undertaken given that the route would appear to go through woodland, farmland and across Kent College land? What are the costings?

>

> Has any separate analysis been done to justify the western bypass on its own right rather than in combination with an eastern bypass?

>

> What benefits would be achieved compared to the current "artificial bypass" of Rough Common Road? The report seems to suggest this would amount to either 550 or 680 vehicles but this does not explain why traffic would use a new road when they can already use Rough Common Road.

>


> Finally the overall benefits of options 4 and 5 compared to the less interventionist option 3 appear slight and so can they can really be justified?

Overall it has not been shown that the western bypass is an essential part of the proposed strategy and hence ultimately may not be able to be justified as sound.

Please take these comments into account.

Regards

David Smith



Sent from my iPad