
COMMENTS ON CANTERBURY LOCAL PLAN

Maggie McKenzie. [REDACTED]
To: consultations@canterbury.gov.uk

8 August 2021 at 16:11

As difficult to navigate the Proposed Local Plan, I wish to make the following comments:

- 1) CCC wish to supply an additional 17,000 homes (instead of 9,000). The money from from Section 78 providing these addition houses by the developer will go towards the building new roads, which will add to pollution and not the additional facilities required
- 2) I live in the hamlet of Womenswold, which is one of the smallest parishes in Kent, and includes the villages of Woolage Green & Woolage Village. There are plans to extend the size of Aylesham (in Dover District) to the very edge of Womenswold, which is in AONB with most houses in the hamlet, which are hundreds of year old, are listed, with no street lighting and a unique village, of which there are many in this lovely part of East Kent. This would involve destroying ancient woodlands, and the wide diversity of plants & wildlife in the area, together with many historic footpaths (the NDW runs through the village)
- 3) There are no facilities where the houses are planned and the infrastructure is totally inadequate for such an increase in traffic. Dover are planning to extend Aylesham into Snowdown, which is not locally supported.
- 4) Aylesham would therefore be partly in Dover & partly in Canterbury, which I am not aware has been suggested in other parts of Canterbury and shows Womenswold part of Aylesham.
- 5) This development would be contrary to your statements made - involves urban sprawl, erosion of rural communities, more pollution, less countryside which is required more than ever.
- 6) The local infrastructure currently is totally inadequate for such an increase in housing with people using the local narrow lanes.
- 7) It is important that the villages surrounding Canterbury are not swamped by those huge developments, and therefore loose their identity.

Yours sincerely

Maggie McKenzie

Sent from my iPad