

## Fwd: Feedback on local plan

1 message

CCC Planning <planning@canterbury.gov.uk>

9 August 2021 at 08:08

To: Michael Bailey [REDACTED]

Regards,

Planning Team  
Canterbury City Council  
01227 862178



Please reply to [planning@canterbury.gov.uk](mailto:planning@canterbury.gov.uk) for your email to be acknowledged.

Please give us your views through our [customer satisfaction survey](#).

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED]  
Date: Sat, 7 Aug 2021 at 11:39  
Subject: Feedback on local plan  
To: <[planning@canterbury.gov.uk](mailto:planning@canterbury.gov.uk)>

As I was finding the feedback forms on your website very difficult to use I wanted to send my feedback to directly. It is copied below.

I can not support any option which promotes increased road building as a means to alleviate congestion in Canterbury. I do not support the preferred option or option B. Specifically the proposed Western bypass will destroy the green corridor between Canterbury and Rough Common an area which is heavily used for recreation by local residents. Local parents and children also use this area as a walking and cycling route to Blean Primary, St Edmunds and Kent College, and the proposed route of the road would bisect many local walking routes. This area also provides an important wildlife corridor. There seems to be very little benefit in building this new road which would in effect follow a parallel route to Rough Common Road/ Palmers cross hill. The existing road is very rarely congested, only having occasional delays at rush hour, which could be avoided by providing 'pull ins' at the bus stops and additional parking spaces along this route. (The verges and pavements are wide enough to do this in many places without disruption.)

The discussion of providing additional park and ride services in this area is ridiculous given that the Sturry Road park and ride has been temporarily suspended due to lack of demand. Little or no consideration seems to have been given to changing work patterns post-covid where universities, colleges and many other employers are providing enhanced opportunities to study and work from home.

Perhaps a more truly sustainable growth strategy such as subsidised public transport links (as a single parent I drive my children to school as I can not afford £80per month for school bus passes). Additionally CCC could investments into high speed internet provision into all areas of the city to support both home working and study and to benefit local business. It seems that sustainability has been used many times within this plan as a buzz word without the actual meaning of sustainability being incorporated in the output of the plan.

Regards

Alex Harvey  
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone