

Fwd: Local Plan Proposals/Consultation - Objections

1 message

CCC Planning <planning@canterbury.gov.uk>

9 August 2021 at 07:59

To: Michael Bailey [REDACTED]

Regards,

Planning Team
Canterbury City Council
01227 862178



Please reply to planning@canterbury.gov.uk for your email to be acknowledged.

Please give us your views through our [customer satisfaction survey](#).

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Sarah Long [REDACTED]
Date: Sun, 8 Aug 2021 at 23:30
Subject: Local Plan Proposals/Consultation - Objections
To: <planning@canterbury.gov.uk>

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing to register my **strenuous objection** to the following aspects of the above, due before 9am on 9th August I believe.

- 1 The proposed western by pass through Dukes Meadow etc.;
- 2 The proposed park and ride at Harbledown;
- 3 The proposal to build a further (up to) 17,000 homes;
- 4 The proposed eastern by pass.

Please note that I object most strongly to each of the above, and add as follows that in my view, the proposals are unsuitable and wholly irresponsible for various reasons, including:-

As to #1, the Meadows, fields and woodland which will be destroyed by these proposals are much used and cherished, are an asset to the community, are an area of urban green space much needed, the road is completely unnecessary, there is already a suitable road running extremely close and parallel thereto (Rough Common Rd) which could be easily improved (if necessary), will be a blot on the landscape of Canterbury, will increase rather than decrease traffic, will render an area presently safe for walkers and cyclists (particularly young children attending several nearby schools) unsafe and dangerous, create added hazards in Giles Lane area. As such, I OBJECT.

As to #2, no such car park is needed nor justifiable. The proposal has been previously, and rightly, rejected I believe. I OBJECT.

As to #3, this is an horrendous proposal which will tear asunder a beautiful city and it's environs. It will destroy the soul, character and desirability of Canterbury as a place to live and/or visit, and will bring big city problems to a small town. It will fracture the infrastructure. It is a grotesque proposal, and cannot seriously be considered in the best interests of the people of Canterbury whom you serve. I OBJECT

As to #4, likewise this is a staggering and grotesque proposal. It will render a large part of a beautiful area into a concrete wasteland, destroy large green areas, destroy wildlife habitats, and cause Canterbury to become like something from Mad Max. The suggestion that it will reduce traffic is ill-conceived, and is in fact more likely to exacerbate traffic problems and pollution. I OBJECT

In summary, I am totally opposed to each of the above proposals. Please note such objection.

An acknowledgement of receipt of this email would be welcome.

Yours truly

Sarah Long

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]